

EFFECT OF PREHABILITATION EXERCISES ON PATIENTS UNDERGOING MINIMALLY INVASIVE SPINE SURGERY: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

Rafiq Khan^{*1}, Dr. Karamjeet Kaur, PT², Dr. Arief Hussain Malla, PT³, Dr. Ashish Kumar, PT⁴

¹Postgraduate Student, Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied and Healthcare, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India.

² Assistant Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied and Healthcare, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India.

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied and Healthcare, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India.

⁴ Demonstrator and Clinical Physiotherapist, Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied and Healthcare, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India.

Article Received: 01 June 2026, Article Revised: 21 June 2026, Published on: 10 July 2026

***Corresponding Author: Rafiq Khan**

Postgraduate Student, Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Allied and Healthcare, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab, India.

Doi: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.5863>

ABSTRACT

Background: Patients undergoing minimally invasive spine surgery (MISS) frequently experience postoperative pain, muscle weakness, impaired mobility, and delayed return to functional activities despite advances in surgical techniques. Prehabilitation has emerged as an effective strategy to optimize physical function before surgery and improve postoperative outcomes.

Objective: To evaluate the effectiveness of prehabilitation exercises on postoperative recovery in patients undergoing minimally invasive spine surgery.

Methods: Sixty participants scheduled for MISS were randomly allocated into an experimental group receiving four weeks of prehabilitation in addition to standard postoperative rehabilitation and a control group receiving standard care alone. Outcome measures included pain intensity, functional disability, walking capacity, and core muscle strength assessed preoperatively and postoperatively.

Results: Participants receiving prehabilitation demonstrated significantly greater improvements in pain reduction, functional mobility, disability scores, and core strength compared with controls. Statistical analysis revealed significant between-group differences with $p < 0.05$.

Conclusion: Prehabilitation exercises significantly enhance postoperative recovery following minimally invasive spine surgery and should be considered an integral component of perioperative spine rehabilitation.

KEYWORDS: Prehabilitation, Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery, Lumbar Disc Herniation, Physiotherapy, Functional Recovery, Core Stability.

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain and degenerative spinal disorders remain among the leading causes of disability worldwide. Conditions such as lumbar disc herniation, spinal stenosis, and degenerative disc disease frequently require surgical intervention when conservative management fails.

Minimally invasive spine surgery has gained popularity because of smaller incisions, reduced tissue trauma, lower blood loss, and shorter hospitalization. However, many patients continue to experience postoperative weakness, impaired mobility, and functional limitations despite these surgical advantages.

Prehabilitation refers to the process of improving a patient's functional capacity before surgery through exercise training, education, and psychological preparation. Previous evidence suggests that patients who undergo structured prehabilitation demonstrate improved postoperative outcomes and faster recovery.

The present study aimed to investigate whether prehabilitation exercises could improve postoperative recovery in patients undergoing minimally invasive spine surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective randomized controlled study design was utilized.

Sixty participants aged 20–60 years undergoing minimally invasive spine surgery were recruited and randomly divided into:

- Experimental Group (n=30): Prehabilitation plus standard postoperative rehabilitation.
- Control Group (n=30): Standard postoperative rehabilitation only.

The prehabilitation program consisted of:

- Abdominal bracing exercises
- Pelvic tilt exercises
- Diaphragmatic breathing
- Bridging exercises
- Bird-dog exercises
- Plank exercises
- Side plank exercises

The intervention was performed for four weeks before surgery under physiotherapist supervision.

Outcome measures included:

- Visual Analog Scale (VAS)
- Oswestry Disability Index (ODI)
- Functional walking assessment
- Core muscle strength evaluation

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software with significance set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The majority of participants belonged to the 31–40 and 41–50 year age groups, each representing 31.7% of the study population. Male participants constituted 58.3% of the total sample. Lumbar disc herniation was the most common diagnosis among participants.

The experimental group demonstrated significantly greater reductions in pain and disability scores and superior improvements in walking capacity and core muscle strength compared with the control group.

Participants who completed prehabilitation achieved faster postoperative mobilization and earlier return to daily activities.

DISCUSSION

The findings suggest that prehabilitation positively influences postoperative outcomes following minimally invasive spine surgery.

Improved core strength before surgery likely enhanced spinal stability and reduced postoperative functional decline. Furthermore, preoperative exercise training may have improved neuromuscular efficiency and physical readiness for surgery.

These findings support previous studies demonstrating the effectiveness of perioperative physiotherapy interventions in improving recovery after spinal surgery.

CONCLUSION

Structured prehabilitation exercises performed before minimally invasive spine surgery significantly improve postoperative pain, disability, mobility, and core muscle strength.

Integrating prehabilitation into standard physiotherapy practice may facilitate faster recovery, reduce postoperative complications, and improve quality of life in patients undergoing spine surgery.

REFERENCES

1. Archer, K. R., Seebach, C. L., Mathis, S. L., Riley, L. H., & Wegener, S. T. (2014). Early postoperative fear of movement predicts pain, disability, and physical health six months after spinal surgery for degenerative conditions. *Spine Journal*, 14(5), 759–767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2013.07.471>
2. Burgess, L. C., Wainwright, T. W., & Whatling, G. M. (2019). Prehabilitation for elective orthopedic surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 20(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2745-9>
3. Carli, F., & Zavorsky, G. S. (2005). Optimizing functional exercise capacity in the elderly surgical population. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care*, 8(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00075197-200501000-00005>
4. Debono, B., Wainwright, T. W., Wang, M. Y., Sigmundsson, F. G., Yang, M. M. H., Smid-Nanninga, H., ... & Lonjon, G. (2019). Consensus statement for perioperative care in lumbar spinal fusion: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Spine Journal*, 21(5), 729–752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2021.01.001>
5. Fairbank, J. C. T., Couper, J., Davies, J. B., & O'Brien, J. P. (1980). The Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire. *Physiotherapy*, 66(8), 271–273.
6. Fritz, J. M., Cleland, J. A., & Brennan, G. P. (2007). Does adherence to the guideline recommendation for active treatments improve the quality of care for patients with acute low back pain delivered by physical therapists? *Medical Care*, 45(10), 973–980.
7. Glassman, S. D., Carreon, L. Y., Djurasovic, M., Campbell, M. J., Puno, R. M., Johnson, J. R., & Dimar, J. R. (2009). Lumbar fusion outcomes stratified by specific diagnostic indication. *Spine Journal*, 9(1), 13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2008.08.011>
8. Hodges, P. W., & Richardson, C. A. (1996). Inefficient muscular stabilization of the lumbar spine associated with low back pain. *Spine*, 21(22), 2640–2650.

9. Jack, K., McLean, S. M., Moffett, J. K., & Gardiner, E. (2010). Barriers to treatment adherence in physiotherapy outpatient clinics: A systematic review. *Manual Therapy*, 15*(3), 220–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2009.12.004>
10. Katz, J. N. (2006). Lumbar disc disorders and low-back pain: Socioeconomic factors and consequences. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 88*(2), 21–24.
11. McGregor, A. H., Probyn, K., Cro, S., Dore, C. J., Burton, A. K., Balagué, F., ... & Fairbank, J. C. (2014). Rehabilitation following surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2014*(12), CD009644. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009644.pub2>
12. Neumann, D. A. (2017). *Kinesiology of the musculoskeletal system: Foundations for rehabilitation** (3rd ed.). Elsevier.
13. Nordin, M., & Frankel, V. H. (2012). *Basic biomechanics of the musculoskeletal system** (4th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
14. Rainville, J., Hartigan, C., Martinez, E., Limke, J., Jouve, C., & Finno, M. (2004). Exercise as a treatment for chronic low back pain. *Spine Journal*, 4*(1), 106–115. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1529-9430\(03\)00174-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1529-9430(03)00174-8)
15. Standring, S. (2021). *Gray's anatomy: The anatomical basis of clinical practice** (42nd ed.). Elsevier.
16. Wainwright, T. W., Gill, M., McDonald, D. A., Middleton, R. G., Reed, M., Sahota, O., ... & Ljungqvist, O. (2020). Consensus statement for perioperative care in total hip and knee replacement surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Acta Orthopaedica*, 91*(1), 3–19.
17. Wang, M. Y., Chang, P. Y., Grossman, J., & Youssef, J. A. (2010). Development of an enhanced recovery after surgery program for minimally invasive spine surgery. *Neurosurgical Focus*, 39*(4), E3.
18. Weinstein, J. N., Tosteson, T. D., Lurie, J. D., Tosteson, A. N., Blood, E. A., Hanscom, B., ... & Herkowitz, H. (2006). Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar disc herniation: The Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 296*(20), 2441–2450. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.20.2441>