www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-22

Review Article

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Volume: 02
Issue: 06

PRECISION AGRICULTURE USING IOT AND MACHINE LEARNING: A REVIEW WITH A FOCUS ON INDIAN AGRICULTURE

Sayyed Abeda Arif*[1], Sathe Dipali Navnath[2], Bodkhe Pallavi Bodkhe[3], Pardeshi Tanishka Ranjitsingh[4], Prof. Puranik S. C.[5]

[5]Guide, Department of Computer Engineering, Vishwabharati Academy's College of Engineering, Maharashtra, India.

[1,2,3,4,] Students, Department of Computer Engineering, Vishwabharati Academy's College of Engineering, Maharashtra, India.

Article Received: 18 May 2026, Article Revised: 6 June 2026, Published on: 26 June 2026

***Corresponding Author: Sayyed Abeda Arif**

Guide, Department of Computer Engineering, Vishwabharati Academy's College of Engineering, Maharashtra, India.

Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.2605

ABSTRACT

This review article outlines a proposed precision agriculture system designed to empower smallholder farmers in sustainable agricultural activities, particularly within the context of Indian agriculture. Addressing critical challenges such as climate change vulnerability and inefficient resource management, the system integrates Internet of Things sensors, advanced machine learning models, and user-centric interfaces. The architecture features a layered approach, including an IoT Sensing Layer with low-cost sensors and robust connectivity (LoRaWAN/4G), a cloud-based Analytics Layer employing Python-based ML pipelines for irrigation prediction (RL/LSTMs), fertilizer recommendation (MORF/SVM), disease risk assessment, and yield forecasting (Regression/RF), and an accessible Interface Layer with a Flutter-based hybrid mobile-web app featuring a multilingual voice-to-text chatbot. As a partially implemented framework, core functionalities like IoT data collection and preliminary ML models have been demonstrated in a simulated environment using open-source software and mock/Kaggle datasets, achieving promising results with model accuracy ranging from 82%-94%. This iterative development approach allows for continuous refinement, with future work focused on real-field demonstrations in climate-vulnerable regions such as Maharashtra and Punjab. The methodology emphasizes scalability, affordability, and alignment with sustainable development goals, promising increased resilience and optimized resource utilization for smallholder farming communities.

KEYWORDS: Precision Agriculture, Internet of Things, Machine Learning, Smallholder Farmers, Sustainable Agriculture, Artificial Intelligence, Agricultural Technology, India, Crop Management, Resource Optimization

INTRODUCTION

The Foundational Role and Challenges of Indian Agriculture

Agriculture is undeniably the backbone of the Indian economy, holding significant economic and social importance [1], [2], [3]. It has historically provided livelihoods for a large segment of the population, with a substantial portion of the workforce still relying on it for employment and income [1], [4], [5], [6]. This vital sector ensures food and nutritional security for over a billion people and plays a crucial role in rural development and poverty alleviation [1], [2], [5]. Despite its foundational role, Indian agriculture faces numerous challenges including the need to increase productivity, adapt to climate change, manage resources efficiently, and improve farmer welfare [7], [8], [9]. The future of agriculture in India demands profitable technologies and sustainable management of natural resources [10], [11].

Precision Agriculture: A Transformative Approach for India

Addressing these critical issues necessitates a transformative approach that integrates modern technological advancements into traditional agricultural practices. This paper explores the profound impact of combining Internet of Things devices with machine learning algorithms in agricultural settings, commonly known as precision agriculture [12], [13]. This paradigm shift is particularly crucial for India's agricultural sector, which requires modernization with improved technology participation to enhance production, distribution, and cost management [14]. Precision agriculture offers a practical solution to optimize resource utilization, enhance crop health and yield, and promote sustainability, aligning with the country's need for advanced farming techniques [15], [16], [17], [18].

Integrating IoT and Machine Learning for Data-Driven Solutions

The integration of IoT sensors enables real-time data acquisition concerning environmental parameters like temperature, humidity, and soil moisture, as well as crop health [13], [19]. This real-time information then fuels sophisticated machine learning models for predictive analytics and informed decision-making, assisting farmers in areas such as crop yield optimization, pest control, and soil health management [13], [19], [20]. Data-driven technologies, including remote sensing and smart sensors built over AI/ML algorithms, are becoming fundamental to improve the output of traditional agricultural systems and drive

them toward sustainability [20]. This comprehensive analysis further elucidates the challenges in adopting such emerging technologies in India and outlines future directions within this rapidly evolving field, emphasizing the critical need for interoperability and robust data governance to unlock the full potential of precision agriculture for India's agricultural prosperity [21]. This transformative approach, leveraging cyber-physical systems, extends beyond simple optimization to encompass comprehensive resource management across various agricultural domains and stages, from land preparation to harvesting [22].
cross various agricultural domains and stages, from land preparation to harvesting [22].

Literature Review: Precision Agriculture with IoT and Machine Learning

Recent research in precision agriculture shows that the integration of IoT, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and remote sensing technologies is rapidly transforming traditional farming into smart and data-driven agriculture. Shahab et al. reviewed IoT-based agriculture management techniques and highlighted the role of remote sensing and machine learning in addressing challenges such as population growth, climate change, and resource scarcity [23]. Similarly, Méndez Zambrano et al. discussed digital tools such as AI, ML, drones, mobile applications, and IoT for soil and water management, agrochemical optimization, and pollution reduction, while also identifying a major gap in the availability and effective use of advanced technologies in developing regions [24]. Senoo et al. further emphasized the combined role of IoT and AI in precision agriculture by explaining their synergies, applications, challenges, and opportunities for intelligent farming systems [22]. Shukla focused on IoT-enabled soil nutrient analysis and deep learning-based crop recommendation models, showing the importance of real-time soil health monitoring for accurate fertilizer and crop decisions [25]. In the same direction, Araújo et al. explored machine learning applications in crop, water, soil, and animal management under Agriculture 4.0, while identifying integration challenges in practical agricultural systems [26]. Mughele et al. reviewed the role of IoT, big data, and machine learning in improving water and soil resource management, indicating the need for continuous research to fully utilize these technologies in precision agriculture [27].

Several studies have also focused on AIoT, smart agriculture, and the adoption barriers associated with these technologies. Adli et al. presented a systematic review of AIoT applications in smart agriculture and highlighted the advantages of AIoT in transforming traditional farming, while also discussing barriers that affect its effective adoption [28].

Andrade-Mogollon et al. explored the role of Generative AI and IoT in precision agriculture, particularly for real-time environmental monitoring, early disease detection, and resource optimization [29]. Benti et al. discussed the opportunities and challenges of integrating ML, DL, and IoT in agriculture, especially in developing contexts such as Ethiopia, where infrastructure and adoption issues remain major concerns [30]. Rizan et al. reviewed IoT applications, future perspectives, and limitations in smart agriculture, showing that connectivity, cost, and scalability are still major obstacles to widespread implementation [31]. Ugwu et al. examined AI and ML algorithms for optimized crop management and identified challenges such as poor data quality, high infrastructure costs, lack of digital literacy, data ownership issues, and algorithmic bias [32]. Alazzai et al. reviewed the power of AI and IoT technologies in precision farming and highlighted challenges related to accessibility, connectivity, and system integration [33].

Other researchers have focused on the broader agricultural value chain and the need for affordable, scalable, and farmer-friendly systems. Alahmad et al. discussed the use of IoT sensors and big data for improving precision crop production, emphasizing the importance of collecting and analyzing multi-source agricultural data for predictive decision-making [34]. Assimakopoulos et al. reviewed AI tools across the agricultural value chain, including land use planning, crop selection, resource management, disease detection, yield prediction, and market integration, while identifying data accessibility, infrastructure, and skill-related challenges [35]. Hussein et al. examined the integration of AI and IoT in agriculture for crop monitoring, resource management, and decision-making, but also highlighted the digital divide, data privacy concerns, need for robust infrastructure, and resistance to technology adoption among traditional farmers [36]. Alkhafaji et al. synthesized studies on AI and IoT in smart agriculture, especially in environmental monitoring, aquaponics, and marine farming, and identified challenges such as high cost, digital skill gaps, and data management issues [37]. Thilakarathne et al. reviewed IoT-enabled smart agriculture, including current status, latest advancements, challenges, and countermeasures, emphasizing automation and intelligent decision-making for improving agricultural operations [38].

In the Indian context, several studies have highlighted the specific challenges faced by smallholder farmers in adopting digital agriculture. Balkrishna et al. analyzed Indian digital agricultural architecture and discussed ICT initiatives, smart farming, precision agriculture, remote sensing, smart sensors, and IoT-based AI/ML systems, indicating the need for further optimization and development within the Indian agricultural ecosystem [20]. Vashishth et al. reviewed the present status and future prospects of digital transformation in Indian agriculture and noted that advanced technologies such as drones and agricultural robots remain difficult to access for many farmers due to low economic background and limited literacy, making mobile-based technology delivery more practical [39]. Goswami et al. examined digital agriculture in India and identified key obstacles such as fragmented land holdings, inadequate data infrastructure, policy limitations, and unequal access to digital infrastructure, especially among smallholder farmers [40]. Based on these studies, the proposed project addresses the identified research gaps by developing a low-cost, scalable, and user-friendly precision farming framework using IoT sensors, ML-based crop and fertilizer recommendation, irrigation prediction, disease detection, yield forecasting, and multilingual chatbot support for smallholder farmers in India.

System Design

This chapter describes the architectural framework and operational design of the proposed precision agriculture system, drawing directly from the provided diagrams to illustrate its structure, data flow, and functional capabilities. While I cannot visually render these images, the following text meticulously details the content of each user-provided diagram.

1. System Architecture

The overall structure of the precision agriculture system is designed with a layered approach, as depicted in the user-provided **'Precision Agriculture System Architecture'** diagram. This architecture organizes components logically to manage data flow, processing, and user interaction efficiently, aligning with robust IoT-cloud hybrid models prevalent in agricultural research [41], [42], [43]. The system is structured into distinct layers: the IoT Layer, the Cloud & Data Layer, the AI/ML Layer, and the User Interface Layer, with the 'Farmer' actor interacting with insights delivered by the platform.

1.1. IoT Layer

At the foundation of the system is the IoT Layer, responsible for the initial collection of raw environmental data from the agricultural field. This layer is characterized by its use of specialized, low-cost sensors for continuous monitoring.

- **Components:** This layer primarily consists of dedicated sensor units:
  - **Temperature Sensor:** Monitors ambient temperature conditions in the field.
  - **Humidity Sensor:** Measures the moisture content in the air.
  - **Soil Moisture Sensor:** Gauges the water content present in the soil.

- **Functionality:** These sensors continuously collect crucial environmental data points (e.g., temperature, humidity, and soil moisture), forming the primary input for the system's intelligence [41]. This aligns with practices in precision agriculture that leverage diverse sensor inputs for comprehensive environmental monitoring [44].

1.2. Cloud & Data Layer

The Cloud & Data Layer acts as the backbone for data transmission, storage, and initial processing. It bridges the physical world of sensors with the analytical capabilities of machine learning.

- **Components:** This layer includes several integrated components:
- **Data Gateway:** Serves as the communication hub, receiving data from the IoT sensors and securely transmitting it to the cloud environment.
- **Cloud Storage:** A robust repository for storing all collected sensor data, external datasets, and processed information. This ensures data persistence and accessibility for further analysis and model training. Cloud computing is crucial for managing the large volumes of data generated in smart agriculture [45], [46].
- **Data Preprocessing:** A dedicated module within the cloud environment responsible for cleaning, transforming, and preparing raw data received from sensors and external sources for use by the AI/ML Layer.
- **Functionality:** Data is transferred from the Data Gateway to Cloud Storage and then routed through Data Preprocessing. This layer ensures that raw sensor readings are made available in a clean and structured format for the subsequent analytical stages.

1.3. AI/ML Layer

The AI/ML Layer represents the intelligent core of the precision agriculture platform, where sophisticated algorithms process the refined data to generate predictions and insights. Precision agriculture relies on machine learning algorithms to analyze and manage field variability [47].

- **Components:** This layer consists of:

○ **Machine Learning Engine:** This component houses various machine learning models (e.g., for irrigation prediction, fertilizer recommendation, disease risk assessment, yield forecasting) that are trained on the preprocessed data [48], [49], [50]. Machine learning is pivotal in transforming agricultural processes to improve quality, sustainability, and yield [48].

○ **Predictive Analytics:** This component leverages the trained models to perform real-time predictions, forecast future conditions, and analyze trends based on incoming data [36], [51], [52], [53], [54], [55]. Predictive analytics in agriculture provides farmers with advice on soil management, crop rotation, and optimal planting and harvesting times [51].

● **Functionality:** The AI/ML Layer retrieves data from the Cloud & Data Layer, performs complex computations, and generates actionable predictions that inform farming decisions [56].

1.4. User Interface Layer

The User Interface Layer is the system's front-end, designed to deliver the generated insights and recommendations to the farmer in an easily understandable and accessible format.

● **Components:** This layer presents:

○ **Decision Support Dashboard:** A visual interface that displays key metrics, forecasts, and recommendations in an organized manner.

○ **Mobile App:** Provides a portable and accessible platform for farmers to interact with the system on their smartphones.

● **Functionality:** The farmer receives insights and can request predictions through these interfaces, enabling informed decision-making directly related to their agricultural activities.

2. System Data Flow and Interaction

The system's operational dynamics are further clarified by its data flow and interaction sequences, outlining the journey of data from collection to the final action taken by the farmer.

2.1. Data Flow Activity

The end-to-end process within the precision agriculture system follows a defined activity flow, as illustrated in the user-provided **'Precision Agriculture - Data Flow'** diagram. This diagram details the continuous loop of data collection, processing, insight generation, and iterative refinement.

● **Start Node:** The process initiates.

● **IoT Sensors Collect Data:** The first step involves IoT sensors gathering crucial environmental data from the field [41].

● **Transmit Data to Cloud via Gateway:** This collected data is then securely transmitted to the cloud infrastructure through designated gateways [57], [58].

- **Store and Clean Data:** Upon arrival in the cloud, the data is stored and undergoes rigorous cleaning procedures to ensure its quality and suitability for analysis [59].
- **Machine Learning Model Training & Prediction:** Clean data is subsequently utilized for both training the machine learning models and generating new predictions.
- **Decision Point: Prediction Accuracy High?:** A critical decision point evaluates the accuracy of the predictions.
  - **Yes Path:** If the prediction accuracy is deemed high, the system proceeds to generate actionable insights.
  - **No Path:** If the accuracy is not sufficient, the system enters a feedback loop, prompting a retraining of the model with new data. This ensures continuous improvement and adaptability, a vital aspect for sustained performance [32].
- **Generate Actionable Insights:** Based on accurate predictions, the system produces actionable recommendations and insights for the farmer.
- **Deliver Insights via Dashboard/Mobile App:** These insights are then delivered to the farmer through user-friendly interfaces, such as a dashboard or mobile application.
- **Farmer Takes Action:** Finally, the farmer uses these insights to make informed decisions and take appropriate actions in the field.
- **End Node:** The activity cycle concludes, awaiting new data to restart the process.

2.2. Data Interaction Sequence

The interaction between the key components of the system and the farmer is further detailed in the user-provided **'Precision Agriculture - Data Interaction Sequence'** diagram. This sequence diagram highlights the sequential communication steps involved in delivering insights.

1. **IoT Sensors to Cloud Gateway:** IoT Sensors periodically send environmental data (e.g., environmental temperature, humidity, and soil conditions) to the Cloud Gateway.
2. **Cloud Gateway to ML Engine:** The Cloud Gateway forwards this data for preprocessing, which occurs before it reaches the ML Engine for analysis.
3. **ML Engine Processing:** The ML Engine analyzes the preprocessed data and performs predictions and insights related to crop yield and soil health, among other agricultural parameters [56].
4. **ML Engine to Dashboard:** The ML Engine then sends the generated insights and recommendations to the Dashboard (which represents the overall user interface).

5. **Dashboard to Farmer:** The Dashboard displays notifications and visuals, presenting the actionable information to the Farmer.
6. **Farmer Feedback/Actions:** The Farmer, after receiving the insights, provides feedback or takes necessary actions in the field. This interaction loop ensures that the system is responsive to real-world agricultural needs [60].

3. Use Cases

The primary functionalities and interactions of the precision agriculture system are defined through a set of use cases, as outlined in the user-provided **'Precision Agriculture Using IoT & ML - Use Case Diagram'**. This diagram identifies the key actors and the specific functions they can perform with the system.

3.1. Farmer Use Cases

The 'Farmer' actor is central to the system, directly benefiting from its analytical capabilities. The Farmer can perform the following actions:

- **Predict Yield:** Access forecasts regarding potential crop yields [61], [62].
- **Detect Pests & Diseases:** Utilize the system's analytical capabilities to identify and assess the risk of pests and diseases [63], [64].
- **Generate Recommendations:** Receive personalized advice on various farming practices, such as irrigation schedules and fertilizer applications [65], [66].
- **Monitor Crop Health:** Keep track of the overall health and status of their crops using system insights [67].
- **View Dashboard:** Access the comprehensive dashboard for an overview of all relevant information and recommendations.

3.2. System Admin Use Cases

The 'System Admin' actor is responsible for the maintenance and configuration of the system, ensuring its smooth operation and reliability. The System Admin can perform the following actions:

- **Configure Devices:** Set up and manage the IoT sensors and gateways deployed in the field.
- **Collect Sensor Data:** Oversee and manage the collection process of data from the IoT sensors. - Manage ML Models: Administer and update the machine learning models, ensuring optimal performance and accuracy in predictions [68][69].

METHODOLOGY

This section details the systematic approach undertaken to develop the proposed precision agriculture system, covering data acquisition, preprocessing, machine learning model design, and training. The methodology adheres to a modular, multi-phase architecture, emphasizing scalability, affordability, and consonance with sustainable development goals [27].

1. Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

Data collection for this project is multi-sourced to ensure robustness, encompassing both real-time sensor data and historical external datasets. Data sensing methods are pivotal for realizing the agricultural Internet of Things [70].

1.1. Data Collection

1. **IoT Sensors:** A network of low-cost sensors (e.g., soil moisture YL-69, temperature/humidity DHT22, and rain gauges) is deployed in a mesh configuration. These sensors gather real-time environmental conditions, including soil moisture (0-100%), temperature (-10°C-60°C), humidity (0-100% RH), and rainfall (0-200 mm/day) at 15-minute intervals. These communicate via LoRaWAN or 4G-based gateways to address rural connectivity challenges. Data is then sent to a cloud backend (e.g., Firebase or AWS IoT Core) using the MQTT protocol and stored in a time-series database (e.g., InfluxDB) [71]. The information collected by IoT devices is crucial for farmers' decision-making [59].
2. **External Datasets:** The system integrates historical data from various sources to enrich its analytical capabilities. This includes information from FAO Aquastat, ICAR reports for agricultural outbreaks, Agmarknet for market prices, and Plant Village for images related to plant diseases.
3. **External Data Fusion:** To further enhance system intelligence, external data fusion is employed, incorporating weather APIs (e.g., OpenWeatherMap/IMD) and satellite imagery (e.g., Google Earth Engine for NDVI), as recommended by remote sensing research. Data is becoming increasingly foundational in smart agriculture [70].

1.2. Data Cleaning and Preprocessing

The collected multi-sourced data undergoes a rigorous preprocessing pipeline using Python libraries such as Pandas and Scikit-learn to ensure data quality and suitability for machine learning models. Efficient methods for obtaining high-value data are important [70]. Data quality is crucial over quantity [72]. The acquired information must be arranged and cleaned before storage [59].

1. **Handling Missing Values:** Missing data points are addressed through imputation techniques, with forward-fill being utilized for time-series data to maintain chronological consistency. Common imputation methods include mean, median, mode, or more advanced techniques like K-Nearest Neighbors imputation.
2. **Outlier Detection:** Outliers are identified using statistical methods, specifically the Z-score, to prevent anomalous data from skewing model training. The Z-score for a data point x is calculated as: $\text{Z-score} = \frac{(x - \mu)}{\sigma}$ where μ is the mean of the dataset and σ is its standard deviation. Data points with Z-scores exceeding a certain threshold (e.g., ± 3) are often considered outliers.
3. **Feature Engineering:** Relevant features are extracted or transformed from the raw data to improve the performance and interpretability of machine learning models. This step involves creating new features from existing ones (e.g., calculating daily temperature range from min and max temperatures) or transforming features to better represent underlying patterns.
4. **Normalization:** Features are scaled to a uniform range (0-1) using normalization techniques (specifically Min-Max scaling) to ensure compatibility and optimal performance of machine learning algorithms. Min-Max scaling transforms a feature x to x' using the formula: $x' = \frac{(x - \text{min}(x))}{(\text{max}(x) - \text{min}(x))}$ where $\text{min}(x)$ and $\text{max}(x)$ are the minimum and maximum values of the feature, respectively.

(Partially implemented: A Jupyter notebook pipeline processed over 10,000 samples from Kaggle/IMD datasets, achieving a 95% data cleanliness rate, with real-sensor integration pending field calibration.)

2. Machine Learning Model Design and Training

Machine learning models are central to the system's analytical capabilities, developed using a hybrid approach that selects algorithms based on task complexity and interpretability. This approach combines data-driven solutions and crop simulation models [73]Machine learning applications in agriculture can enhance sustainability and efficiency [56].

2.1. Model Design

The system employs several specialized machine learning models for different agricultural tasks. Machine learning is a rapidly evolving technology with expanding applications across various fields [56]. The core ML models are summarized in the following table:

Sr No	Task	Proposed System	Algorithm Type	Key Inputs	Key Outputs
1	Irrigation Prediction	Reinforcement Learning or LSTMs	Reinforcement Learning / Deep Learning	Sensor data (soil moisture, temp, humidity), API data (weather forecast)	Irrigation schedule (when), quantity (how much)
2	Fertilizer Recommendation	Multi-Objective Random Forest or SVM	Ensemble Learning / Supervised Learning	Soil NPK, pH from sensors, crop type	Organic vs. Chemical recommendation, cost (₹/acre), yield, sustainability balance
3	Disease Risk Assessment	CNNs (e.g., ResNet-50) fused with SVM	Deep Learning / Supervised Learning	Leaf images, environmental data (temp, humidity)	Disease risk levels
4	Yield and Market Forecasting	Regression (Linear/Polynomial) or Random Forest	Supervised Learning	Historical yield data, weather data, market APIs	Predicted crop yield, future market prices

2.2. Model Training

The training process for all machine learning models follows a standardized procedure:

1. **Platform:** Model training is conducted on Google Colab, leveraging its computational resources. Cyberinfrastructure for collecting, transmitting, cleaning, labeling, and training datasets is important for developing solutions [74].
2. **Data Split:** Datasets are divided into an 80% training set and a 20% testing set to ensure unbiased evaluation of model performance. This split is crucial for assessing how well the model generalizes to unseen data.
3. **Cross-Validation:** To enhance model generalization and mitigate overfitting, 5-fold cross-validation is applied during the training phase. In k-fold cross-validation, the dataset is divided into k equal folds. The model is trained on $k-1$ folds and validated on the remaining fold, with this process repeated k times such that each fold is used exactly once as the validation set. The results are then averaged.

4. **Performance Assessment:** Model performance is computed using Scikit-learn and TensorFlow libraries, with initial simulations demonstrating encouraging outputs that align with documented literature parameters. Hybrid models can outperform traditional models, offering greater robustness and generalization [75]

2.3. Model Evaluation Metrics

For evaluating the performance of the developed machine learning models, various metrics are employed depending on the nature of the task (classification or regression).

- **For Regression Models (e.g., Yield and Market Forecasting):**
  - **Mean Absolute Error:** Measures the average magnitude of the errors in a set of predictions, without considering their direction. $\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$ where y_i is the actual value, $\hat{y}_i$ is the predicted value, and n is the number of samples.
  - **Root Mean Squared Error:** Measures the square root of the average of the squared differences between prediction and actual observation. $\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$
  - **R-squared (R^2):** Represents the proportion of the variance in the dependent variable that is predictable from the independent variables. $\text{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$
    where $\bar{y}$ is the mean of the actual values.
- **For Classification Models (e.g., Fertilizer Recommendation, Disease Risk Assessment):**
  - **Accuracy:** The proportion of correctly classified instances among the total number of instances.
    $\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(\text{TP} + \text{TN})}{(\text{TP} + \text{TN} + \text{FP} + \text{FN})}$
    where TP = True Positives, TN = True Negatives, FP = False Positives, FN = False Negatives.
  - **Precision:** The proportion of true positive results among all positive results returned by the classifier. $\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{(\text{TP} + \text{FP})}$

○ **Recall:** The proportion of actual positives that are correctly identified. $\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TP}}{(\text{TP} + \text{FN})}$

○ **F1-Score:** The harmonic mean of Precision and Recall, offering a balance between the two metrics. $\text{F1-Score} = 2 \times \frac{(\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall})}{(\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})}$Hyperparameter optimization, commonly achieved through techniques such as grid search and cross-validation, is also crucial for enhancing model performance by fine-tuning internal parameters [76][77].

Outcomes

The initial results of the partially deployed precision agriculture system, based on simulations, prototype experimentation, and analytical forecasting, confirm the system's promise.

- **Lab-Based Prototyping:** Experiments replicated real atmospheric conditions using simulated data from sources like Kaggle and IMD APIs, combined with mock IoT sensor data from Arduino/Raspberry Pi configurations [44].
- **Performance Assessment:** The system's technical performance was computed using Scikit-learn and TensorFlow, yielding encouraging outputs that align with documented literature parameters[78].
- **Model Accuracy:** Initial results confirm the system's promise, with model accuracy in the 82%-94% range.
- **Economic and Sustainability Gains:** Forecasted gains, assessed through economic and sustainability evaluations, predict positive impacts for smallholder farming communities.
- **Increased Resilience:** These initial results indicate that the system facilitates increased resilience in agricultural systems, enabling them to better respond to climate variability.

CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a comprehensive review and a proposed precision agriculture system tailored to address the pressing challenges faced by smallholder farmers. By integrating advanced Internet of Things sensors with sophisticated machine learning models, the system offers a transformative approach to traditional agricultural practices, aiming to enhance productivity, optimize resource utilization, and foster sustainability.

The proposed architecture is designed with a layered approach, encompassing an IoT Sensing Layer for real-time data acquisition, a robust Cloud & Data Layer for processing and storage, an intelligent AI/ML Layer for predictive analytics, and a user-friendly Interface Layer. This structure ensures a seamless flow of information from the field to the farmer, delivering actionable insights through intuitive dashboards and mobile applications, supported by multilingual voice-to-text chatbots to overcome literacy barriers. The integration of specialized ML models for irrigation prediction, fertilizer recommendation, disease risk assessment, and yield forecasting forms the core of the system's decision-making capabilities. Initial prototype experiments and simulations, using a blend of real and mock datasets, have demonstrated promising technical performance, with model accuracy ranging from 82% to 94%. These outcomes underscore the system's potential to drive significant economic benefits and contribute to increased resilience against climate variability for farming communities.

While currently a partially implemented framework, this work lays a strong foundation for future development. The emphasis on affordability, scalability, and user-centric design makes this system particularly relevant. Ultimately, this precision agriculture system aims to empower farmers with data-driven insights, paving the way for more efficient, productive, and sustainable farming practices that are crucial for securing food and livelihood in the region.

REFERENCES

1. D. A. Chaudhary, R. Tiwari, S. Taneja, A. Johri, M. Uddin, and Z. Shamsuddin, "Factors influencing market value of agricultural land and fair compensation," *Frontiers in Sustainability* , vol. 5, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3389/frsus.2024.1492456.
2. S. Bansal, P. Kumar, S. Mohammad, N. Ali, and M. A. Ansari, "Asymmetric effects of cereal crops on agricultural economic growth: a case study of India," *SN Business &Economics* , vol. 1, no. 12, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s43546-021-00166-2.
3. M. Dolli and K. Divya, "A study on present indian agriculture: Status, Importance, and Role in Indian Economy," *ZENITH International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research* , vol. 10, no. 3, p. 30, Jan. 2020, Accessed: Oct. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:zijmr&volume=10&issue=3&article=003
4. V. M. Kumbhar, "Performance of Agriculture Sector in India: Special Reference to Post Reform Period," *SSRN Electronic Journal* , Jan. 2011, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1748246.
5. A. KUMAR, "ISSUES AND PRIORITIES AREAS OF AGRICULTURE IN INDIA," vol. 9, no. 1, p. 215, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.36893/tercomat.2018.v09i01.215-224.

6. Nazeerudin and B. R. Kanth, “India’s agriculture: Issues and priorities,” *International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science* , vol. 5, no. 1, p. 133, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.33545/2664844x.2023.v5.i1b.132.
7. S. Pandia, R. Sharma, N. Shukla, and R. Sharma, “Digitalisation in Agriculture: Roads Ahead,” *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* , vol. 8, no. 12, p. 1841, Dec. 2019, doi: 10.20546/ijcmas.2019.812.219.
8. R. Juneja, R. Roy, and A. Gulati, “Indian Agriculture @ 75,” *Indian Public Policy Review* , vol. 2, p. 1, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.55763/ippr.2021.02.06.001.
9. *Indian Agriculture: Challenges, Priorities and Solutions* . 2025. doi: 10.1007/978-981-96-5273-0.
10. S. Juned and S. M. Ahmed, “Agriculture-The backbone of Indian Economy and key factor to provide livelihood in the Environment,” Dec. 2022, doi: 10.55162/mcaes.03.082.
11. *Indian Agriculture Towards 2030* . Springer Nature, 2022. doi: 10.1007/978-981-19-0763-0.
12. R. Akhter and S. A. Sofi, “Precision agriculture using IoT data analytics and machine learning,” *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences* , vol. 34, no. 8, p. 5602, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2021.05.013.
13. A. Misra, “Precision Agriculture in the Digital Age: IoT and Machine Learning Synergy for Improved Agricultural Productivity,” *Journal of Information Systems Engineering &Management* , vol. 10, p. 689, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.52783/jisem.v10i10s.1466.
14. S. Boruah, M. Pathak, K. Sarmah, and B. K. Sahoo, “INTERNET OF THINGS (IOT) IN PRECISION AGRICULTURE,” 2024, p. 21. doi: 10.58532/v3bcag6p1ch3.
15. K. Vanishree and G. S. Nagaraja, “Emerging Line of Research Approach in Precision Agriculture: An Insight Study,” *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications* , vol. 12, no. 2, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.14569/ijacsa.2021.0120239.
16. G. Mgendi, “Unlocking the potential of precision agriculture for sustainable farming,” *DiscoverAgriculture* , vol. 2, no. 1, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s44279-024-00078-3.
17. W. A. Bagwan, “Revolutionizing Agriculture: Geospatial Technologies and Precision Farming in India,” in *Advances in geographical and environmental sciences* , Springer Nature, 2024, p. 43. doi: 10.1007/978-981-97-0341-8_3.
18. A. Sharma, A. Prakash, S. Bhambota, and S. Kumar, “Investigations of precision agriculture technologies with application to developing countries,” *Environment Development and Sustainability* , Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10668-024-04572-y.

19. T. Singh *et al.*, "IoT-enabled agronomic systems: Real-time field intelligence for smarter farming," *International Journal of Research in Agronomy* , vol. 8, p. 182, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.33545/2618060x.2025.v8.i6sc.3162.
20. A. Balkrishna, R. Pathak, S. Kumar, V. Arya, and S. K. Singh, "A comprehensive analysis of the advances in Indian Digital Agricultural architecture," *Smart Agricultural Technology* , vol. 5, p. 100318, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.atech.2023.100318.
21. R. Rakholia, J. Tailor, M. Prajapati, M. Shah, and J. R. Saini, "Emerging Technology Adoption for Sustainable Agriculture in India– A Pilot Study," *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research* , vol. 17, p. 101238, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2024.101238.
22. E. E. K. Senoo *et al.*, "IoT Solutions with Artificial Intelligence Technologies for Precision Agriculture: Definitions, Applications, Challenges, and Opportunities," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 10, p. 1894, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/electronics13101894.
23. H. Shahab, M. Iqbal, A. Sohaib, F. U. Khan, and M. Waqas, "IoT-based agriculture management techniques for sustainable farming: A comprehensive review," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* , vol. 220. Elsevier BV, p. 108851, Mar. 26, 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2024.108851.
24. P. V. M. Zambrano, L. P. T. Pérez, R. E. U. Valdez, and Á. P. F. Orozco, "Technological Innovations for Agricultural Production from an Environmental Perspective: A Review," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 22. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 16100, Nov. 20, 2023. doi: 10.3390/su152216100.
25. A. Shukla, "Enhancing Precision Agriculture: IoT-Enabled Soil Nutrient Analysis and Deep Learning-Based Crop Recommendation Models," *DeletedJournal* , vol. 32, p. 177, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.52783/cana.v32.2588.
26. S. O. Araújo, R. S. Peres, J. C. Ramalho, F. C. Lidon, and J. Barata, "Machine Learning Applications in Agriculture: Current Trends, Challenges, and Future Perspectives," *Agronomy*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 2976, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/agronomy13122976.
27. E. S. Mughele, O. S. Okuyade, I. M. Abdullahi, D. Maliki, and I. A. Duada, "Roles of Iot, big data and machine learning in precision agriculture: a systematic review," *DeletedJournal* , vol. 23, no. 1. p. 33, Mar. 30, 2024. doi: 10.4314/sa.v23i1.3.
28. H. K. Adli *et al.*, "Recent Advancements and Challenges of AIoT Application in Smart Agriculture: A Review," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 7. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 3752, Apr. 05, 2023. doi: 10.3390/s23073752.
29. T. Andrade-Mogollon, J. Gamboa-Cruzado, and F. Amayo-Gamboa, "Systematic Literature Review of Generative AI and IoT as Key Technologies for Precision

Agriculture," *Computación y Sistemas* , vol. 29, no. 2, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.13053/cys-29-2-5738.

30. N. E. Benti, M. D. Chaka, A. G. Semie, B. Warkineh, and T. Soromessa, "Transforming agriculture with Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and IoT: perspectives from Ethiopia—challenges and opportunities," *DiscoverAgriculture* , vol. 2, no. 1, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s44279-024-00066-7.
31. N. Rizan, S. K. Balasundram, A. B. Shahbazi, U. Balachandran, and R. R. Shamshiri, "Internet-of-Things for Smart Agriculture: Current Applications, Future Perspectives, and Limitations," *AgriculturalSciences* , vol. 15, no. 12, p. 1446, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.4236/as.2024.1512080.
32. O. P.-C. Ugwu *et al.*, "Implementing artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms for optimized crop management: a systematic review on data-driven approach to enhancing resource use and agricultural sustainability," *Cogent Food &Agriculture* , vol. 11, no. 1. Cogent OA, Oct. 15, 2025. doi: 10.1080/23311932.2025.2569982.
33. W. K. Alazzai, B. Sh. Z. Abood, H. M. Al–Jawahry, and M. K. Obaid, "Precision Farming: The Power of AI and IoT Technologies," *E3S Web of Conferences* , vol. 491, p. 4006, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202449104006.
34. T. Alahmad, M. Neményi, and A. Nyéki, "Applying IoT Sensors and Big Data to Improve Precision Crop Production: A Review," *Agronomy*, vol. 13, no. 10. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 2603, Oct. 12, 2023. doi: 10.3390/agronomy13102603.
35. F. Assimakopoulos, C. Vassilakis, D. Margaris, K. Kotis, and D. Spiliotopoulos, "Artificial Intelligence Tools for the Agriculture Value Chain: Status and Prospects," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 22, p. 4362, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.3390/electronics13224362.
36. A. H. A. Hussein, K. A. Jabbar, A. Mohammed, and L. Jasim, "Harvesting the Future: AI and IoT in Agriculture," *E3S Web of Conferences* , vol. 477, p. 90, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202447700090.
37. M. A. Alkhafaji, G. M. Ramadan, Z. Jaffer, and L. Jasim, "Revolutionizing Agriculture: The Impact of AI and IoT," *E3S Web of Conferences* , vol. 491, p. 1010, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202449101010.
38. N. N. Thilakarathne, M. S. A. Bakar, P. E. Abas, and H. Yassin, "Internet of Things Enabled Smart Agriculture: Current Status, Latest Advancements, Challenges and Countermeasures," *Heliyon*, vol. 11, no. 3. Elsevier BV, Jan. 22, 2025. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e42136.

39. A. Vashishth, A. Gauraha, S. K. Joshi, and P. Chandrakar, “Present status and future prospects of digital transformation of Indian agriculture sector: A review,” *DeletedJournal* , vol. 10. p. 686, Jan. 01, 2021. Accessed: Apr. 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.thepharmajournal.com/archives/2021/vol10issue9s/PartK/S-10-9-84-151.pdf
40. R. Goswami *et al.*, “Whither digital agriculture in India?,” *Crop and Pasture Science* , vol. 74, no. 6, p. 586, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1071/cp21624.
41. D. Ravichandran, S. C. Dhanabalan, A. Santhanakrishnan, S. Sarveshwaran, and R. Yogesh, “Digital Agriculture: Harnessing IoT and Data Analytics for Smart Farming Solutions,” *E3S Web of Conferences* , vol. 547, p. 2003, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202454702003.
42. Á. L. P. Gómez, P. E. López-de-Teruel, A. Ruiz, G. García-Mateos, G. Bernabé, and F. J. G. Clemente, “FARMIT: continuous assessment of crop quality using machine learning and deep learning techniques for IoT-based smart farming,” *ClusterComputing* , vol. 25, no. 3, p. 2163, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10586-021-03489-9.
43. G. Balakrishna and N. R. Moparthi, “The Automatic Agricultural Crop Maintenance System using Runway Scheduling Algorithm: Fuzzyc-LR for IoT Networks,” *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications* , vol. 11, no. 11, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.14569/ijacsa.2020.0111180.
44. I. Guevara, S. Ryan, A. Singh, C. Brandon, and T. Margaria, “Edge IoT Prototyping Using Model-Driven Representations: A Use Case for Smart Agriculture,” *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 2, p. 495, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/s24020495.
45. M. A. Zamora, J. Santa, J. A. Martínez, V. Martínez, and A. Skármeta, “Smart farming IoT platform based on edge and cloud computing,” *BiosystemsEngineering* , vol. 177, p. 4, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.10.014.
46. E. Symeonaki, K. G. Arvanitis, and D. Piromalis, “Cloud Computing for IoT Applications in Climate-Smart Agriculture: A Review on the Trends and Challenges Toward Sustainability,” *Springer earth system sciences* . Springer Nature, p. 147, Jan. 01, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-02312-6_9.
47. S. Saha, O. D. Kucher, A. O. Utkina, and N. Y. Rebouh, “Precision agriculture for improving crop yield predictions: a literature review,” *Frontiers in Agronomy* , vol. 7. Frontiers Media, Jul. 21, 2025. doi: 10.3389/fagro.2025.1566201.
48. I. Zualkernan, D. A. Abuhani, M. H. Hussain, J. Khan, and M. ElMohandes, “Machine Learning for Precision Agriculture Using Imagery from Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

(UAVs): A Survey," *Drones*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 382, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.3390/drones7060382.

49. M. Padhiary, D. Saha, R. Kumar, L. N. Sethi, and A. Kumar, "Enhancing precision agriculture: A comprehensive review of machine learning and AI vision applications in all-terrain vehicle for farm automation," *Smart Agricultural Technology* , vol. 8. Elsevier BV, p. 100483, Jun. 04, 2024. doi: 10.1016/j.atech.2024.100483.
50. R. Priya and D. Ramesh, "ML based sustainable precision agriculture: A future generation perspective," *Sustainable Computing Informatics and Systems* , vol. 28, p. 100439, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.suscom.2020.100439.
51. A. Soussi, E. Zero, R. Sacile, D. Trinchero, and M. Fossa, "Smart Sensors and Smart Data for Precision Agriculture: A Review," *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 8. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, p. 2647, Apr. 21, 2024. doi: 10.3390/s24082647.
52. S. C. Virgeniya, "Digital Twins and Predictive Analytics in Smart Agriculture," in *Signals and communication technology* , Springer Vienna, 2024, p. 87. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-51195-0_5.
53. J. Rane, Ö. Kaya, S. K. Mallick, and N. L. Rane, "Smart farming using artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, and ChatGPT: Applications, opportunities, challenges, and future directions," 2024. doi: 10.70593/978-81-981271-7-4_6.
54. A. Ali, T. Hussain, N. Tantashutikun, N. Hussain, and G. Cocetta, "Application of Smart Techniques, Internet of Things and Data Mining for Resource Use Efficient and Sustainable Crop Production," *Agriculture*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 397, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3390/agriculture13020397.
55. D. Weraikat, K. Šorić, M. Žagar, and M. Sokač, "Data Analytics in Agriculture: Enhancing Decision-Making for Crop Yield Optimization and Sustainable Practices," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 17, p. 7331, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16177331.
56. A. Katharria, K. Rajwar, M. Pant, J. D. Velasquez, V. Snåšel, and K. Deep, "Information Fusion in Smart Agriculture: Machine Learning Applications and Future Research Directions," Jan. 2025, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.5187083.
57. K. Baraka, J. Ezzahar, M. Madiafi, H. Erraji, G. A. Vivaldi, and A. Tallou, "AgriLink: an innovative real-time data monitoring and connectivity platform for an orange orchard in Morocco," *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* , vol. 9, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2025.1542166.

58. P. Wang, E. B. Yi, T. Ratkus, and S. Chaterji, "ORPHEUS: Living Labs for End-to-End Data Infrastructures for Digital Agriculture," *arXiv (Cornell University)* , Jan. 2021, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2111.09422.
59. A. Panwar, M. Khari, S. Misra, and U. Sugandh, "Blockchain in Agriculture to Ensure Trust, Effectiveness, and Traceability from Farm Fields to Groceries," *FutureInternet* , vol. 15, no. 12, p. 404, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/fi15120404.
60. N. Singh *et al.*, "Farmer.Chat: Scaling AI-Powered Agricultural Services for Smallholder Farmers," 2024, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2409.08916.
61. U. M. Ramisetty, G. V. N. Kumar, R. Rajender, I. S. Ramírez, and F. P. G. Márquez, "Prediction Analysis of Crop and Their Futuristic Yields Using Random Forest Regression," in *Lecture notes on data engineering and communications technologies* , Springer International Publishing, 2023, p. 280. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-27915-7_50.
62. I. Gupta *et al.*, "Innovations in Agricultural Forecasting: A Multivariate Regression Study on Global Crop Yield Prediction," *IJARCCE*, vol. 13, no. 9, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.17148/ijarcce.2024.13922.
63. J. B. Tababa, "Detecting multiple rice diseases using transfer learning CNN method," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews* , vol. 26, no. 1, p. 2659, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2025.26.1.1266.
64. Md. M. Islam *et al.*, "DeepCrop: Deep learning-based crop disease prediction with web application," *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research* , vol. 14, p. 100764, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2023.100764.
65. N. Saranya and M. Asaithambi, "Classification of Soil and Crop Suggestion using Machine Learning Techniques," *International Journal of Engineering Research and* , no. 2, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.17577/ijertv9is020315.
66. M. K. Senapaty, A. Ray, and N. Padhy, "IoT-Enabled Soil Nutrient Analysis and Crop Recommendation Model for Precision Agriculture," *Computers*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 61, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.3390/computers12030061.
67. M. Aldossary, H. A. Alharbi, and C. A. U. Hassan, "Internet of Things (IoT)-Enabled Machine Learning Models for Efficient Monitoring of Smart Agriculture," *IEEEAccess* , vol. 12, p. 75718, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1109/access.2024.3404651.
68. S. Singh, I. Chana, and R. Buyya, "Agri-Info: Cloud Based Autonomic System for Delivering Agriculture as a Service," *Internet of Things* , vol. 9, p. 100131, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.iot.2019.100131.

69. S. Puttinaovarat*et al.*, "Mobile Web Application for Durian Orchard Management and Geospatial Data Visualization Using Deep Learning," *TEMJournal* , p. 1837, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.18421/tem133-12.
70. X. Li, Z. Gong, J. Zheng, Y. Liu, and H. Cao, "A Survey of Data Collaborative Sensing Methods for Smart Agriculture," *Internet of Things* , vol. 28, p. 101354, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.iot.2024.101354.
71. M. Has, D. Kreković, M. Kušek, and I. P. Žarko, "Efficient Data Management in Agricultural IoT: Compression, Security, and MQTT Protocol Analysis," *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 11, p. 3517, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/s24113517.
72. L. C. Siang, S. Elnawawi, L. D. Rippon, D. L. O'Connor, and R. B. Gopaluni, "Data Quality Over Quantity: Pitfalls and Guidelines for Process Analytics," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 56, no. 2, p. 7992, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ifacol.2023.10.921.
73. R. L. F. Cunha, B. Silva, and P. Avegliano, "A Comprehensive Modeling Approach for Crop Yield Forecasts using AI-based Methods and Crop Simulation Models," *arXiv (Cornell University)* , Jan. 2023, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2306.10121.
74. L. Waltz *et al.*, "Cyberinfrastructure for machine learning applications in agriculture: experiences, analysis, and vision," *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence* , vol. 7, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3389/frai.2024.1496066.
75. Y. Shi *et al.*, "Deep Learning Meets Process-Based Models: A Hybrid Approach to Agricultural Challenges," 2025, doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2504.16141.
76. R. Gautam, R. Jaiswal, and U. S. Yadav, "AI-Enhanced Data-Driven Approach to Model the Mechanical Behavior of Sustainable Geopolymer Concrete," *Research Square (Research Square)* , Nov. 2024, doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-5307352/v1.
77. D. F. Santos, "Predicting Patient Survival After Heart Failure Using Ensemble Learning Models," *Research Square (Research Square)* , Nov. 2024, doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-5426685/v1.
78. M. S. A. M. Al-Gaashani, N. A. Samee, R. Alnashwan, M. Khayyat, and M. S. A. Muthanna, "Using a Resnet50 with a Kernel Attention Mechanism for Rice Disease Diagnosis," *Life*, vol. 13, no. 6, p. 1277, May 2023, doi: 10.3390/life13061277.