

## STUDENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION USING ML

*\*Prof. Dhanshree Subhash Deore*

*Assistant Professor, Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.*

Article Received: 24 October 2025, Article Revised: 15 November 2025, Published on: 05 December 2025

**\*Corresponding Author: Prof. Dhanshree Subhash Deore**

Assistant Professor, Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, Maharashtra, India.

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.2630>

### ABSTRACT

Predicting student academic performance is a crucial task in educational institutions, enabling early identification of at-risk students and allowing for timely interventions. Traditional methods of evaluation often rely on static assessments, which may not fully capture the dynamic factors influencing a student's success. This paper proposes a comprehensive framework for student performance prediction using various machine learning (ML) techniques. The study analyzes a dataset comprising student demographics, academic history, and behavioral attributes. We compare the performance of several supervised learning algorithms, including Logistic Regression, , Decision Trees, and Random Forest. The models are trained to classify students into performance categories (e.g., "Pass" or "Fail," "High," "Medium," or "Low" performance). Our results indicate that the Random Forest classifier achieves the highest accuracy and F1-score, outperforming other models due to its ability to handle complex non-linear relationships and high-dimensional data. The findings demonstrate the significant potential of machine learning in educational data mining to provide actionable insights for educators, enhance student outcomes, and support the development of personalized learning strategies.

**KEYWORDS:** Student performance prediction, machine learning (ML), Regression, Decision Trees, Random Forest.

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

The field of education is increasingly leveraging technology to enhance learning outcomes and institutional effectiveness. A critical area of focus is **educational data mining (EDM)**,

which involves applying data mining techniques to educational data to solve pedagogical problems. Among the most significant applications of EDM is the prediction of student academic performance. Historically, educators have relied on intuition and traditional assessments like mid-term and final exams to gauge student success. However, these methods are often insufficient for identifying students at risk of underperforming early enough to implement meaningful interventions. This limitation highlights the need for a more proactive, data-driven approach to student evaluation.

The core challenge in education is to maximize student potential and minimize academic failure. A key obstacle is the lack of a reliable system for early and accurate performance prediction. Without such a system, educators are often unaware of a student's struggles until it is too late, leading to increased dropout rates and reduced graduation numbers. The motivation for this research is to address this problem by developing a robust predictive model that can identify students at risk of failing or underachieving. The use of **machine learning (ML)** algorithms offers a powerful tool for analyzing diverse student data—including demographic information, past academic records, and behavioral patterns—to uncover hidden correlations and patterns that are not visible through traditional analysis.

- **A Systematic Data Collection and Preprocessing Strategy:** We outline a detailed methodology for collecting and preparing a rich dataset that includes demographic, academic, and engagement-related features.
- **Comparative Analysis of Multiple ML Models:** We evaluate and compare the performance of leading machine learning algorithms, including **Logistic Regression, Decision Trees, Random Forest, and Support Vector Machines**, to determine the most effective model for student performance prediction.
- **Performance Evaluation:** We use standard metrics such as **Accuracy, Precision, Recall, and F1-Score** to provide a thorough and unbiased assessment of each model's predictive capability.
- **Actionable Insights:** The findings from our best-performing model provide educators with the ability to identify at-risk students proactively, enabling timely and targeted interventions such as personalized tutoring, academic counseling, and tailored learning plans.

## Literature Review and Survey of Existing Systems

The field of **Educational Data Mining (EDM)** has seen a significant rise in research focused on predicting student outcomes. A survey of the literature reveals a variety of machine learning (ML) techniques and data sources used for this purpose.

**2.1.1 Data Sources and Features:** The accuracy of any predictive model heavily depends on the quality and relevance of its input data. Researchers have used a diverse range of features, categorized as:

- **Demographic Data:** Age, gender, nationality, family background, and socioeconomic status.
- **Academic Data:** Previous grades, attendance records, course enrollment, and credits earned.
- **Behavioral Data:** Clicks, login times, forum posts, and submission timestamps in online learning platforms (Learning Management Systems).
- **Psychological Data:** Self-reported motivation, a student's confidence level, and personality traits.

Our review shows that a combination of academic and behavioral data often yields the best predictive results.

**Classification Algorithms:** These are the most common models for this task, as they can predict categorical outcomes (e.g., "Pass" or "Fail," "High," "Medium," or "Low" performance).

- **Decision Trees:** Easy to interpret but prone to overfitting.
- **Support Vector Machines (SVM):** Effective in high-dimensional spaces but computationally intensive for large datasets.
- **Naïve Bayes:** Fast and simple, performs well with text data but assumes feature independence.
- **Random Forest:** An ensemble method that combines multiple decision trees, reducing overfitting and generally achieving high accuracy.
- **Logistic Regression:** A simple linear model for binary classification, often used as a baseline for comparison.

**Regression Algorithms:** Used to predict a continuous variable, such as a student's final grade.

- **Linear Regression:** Simple to implement but assumes a linear relationship between features and the outcome.
- **Ridge Regression/Lasso:** Regularized versions of linear regression that help prevent overfitting.
- ☐ **Programming Languages:** Python is dominant due to its extensive ML libraries (Scikit-learn, TensorFlow, Keras, Pandas).
- ☐ **Data Visualization Tools:** Matplotlib, Seaborn, and Tableau are used to explore data and visualize model results.
- ☐ **Platforms:** Google Colab, Jupyter Notebooks, and cloud-based platforms like AWS and Azure provide the computational power needed for training models.
- ☐ **Source:** The dataset is collected from an educational institution's student information system and online learning platform.
- ☐ **Features:** The dataset includes features from three main categories:
  - **Academic Features:** Cumulative GPA, number of courses taken, and scores on assignments and quizzes.
  - **Demographic Features:** Age, gender, enrollment type (full-time/part-time), and major.
  - **Behavioral Features:** Number of logins to the online platform, time spent on course materials, and participation in discussion forums.
- ☐ **Target Variable:** The final academic outcome, which will be a categorical label (e.g., "Pass" or "Fail").
- ☐ **Programming Language:** Python will be used due to its extensive machine learning ecosystem.
- ☐ **Libraries:**
  - **Pandas:** For data manipulation and analysis.
  - **Numpy:** For numerical operations.
  - **Scikit-learn:** The primary library for implementing and evaluating the ML models.
  - **Matplotlib and Seaborn:** For data visualization.
- ☐ **Algorithms:** The following supervised machine learning algorithms will be implemented and compared:
  1. **Logistic Regression:** Serves as a baseline model.
  2. **Decision Tree Classifier:** To assess a simple, interpretable model.

3. **Random Forest Classifier:** To evaluate an ensemble method.
  4. **Support Vector Machine (SVM) Classifier:** To test a robust and powerful algorithm.
- **Evaluation Metrics:** The performance of each model will be rigorously assessed using:
    - **Accuracy:** The proportion of correctly classified instances.
    - **Precision:** The ratio of true positive predictions to all positive predictions.
    - **Recall (Sensitivity):** The ratio of true positive predictions to all actual positive instances.
    - **F1-Score:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall.
    - **Confusion Matrix:** A table to visualize the performance of the classification algorithm.
  - **Methodology:** A **cross-validation** strategy will be used to ensure the robustness and generalizability of the models. The dataset will be split into training and testing sets to prevent overfitting.

## DISCUSSION AND METHODOLOGY

The methodology section describes the specific steps taken to collect, process, and analyze the data for student performance prediction.

### 3.1 Data Collection and Preprocessing

The dataset used in this study was sourced from a public repository containing anonymized student records from a university. The raw data included various features that required careful preprocessing to be suitable for machine learning models.

- **Feature Selection:** We identified and selected features that were most relevant to academic performance, including:
  - **Demographic:** Age, gender, and scholarship status.
  - **Academic:** Cumulative GPA, number of absences, and previous grades in related courses.
  - **Behavioral:** Time spent on online learning platforms and number of assignments submitted on time.
- **Handling Missing Data:** Missing values in the dataset were addressed using the **imputation** method, where they were filled with the mean or mode of the respective feature columns to prevent data loss.
- **Categorical Encoding:** Categorical features such as "gender" and "scholarship status" were converted into numerical format using **One-Hot Encoding**, which creates binary columns for each category.

- **Data Normalization:** Numerical features were scaled using **Min-Max normalization** to bring all values to a common scale (0 to 1). This step is crucial for algorithms like SVM, which are sensitive to the magnitude of feature values.
- **Target Variable Definition:** The final grade was converted into a binary target variable: "Pass" (1) for grades above a certain threshold and "Fail" (0) for grades below it. This framed the problem as a **binary classification** task.

### 3.2 Model Implementation and Training

The preprocessed dataset was split into a **training set (80%)** and a **testing set (20%)**. The following machine learning models were implemented using Python's **Scikit-learn** library.

- **Logistic Regression:** A linear model used as a baseline to establish the minimum performance benchmark.
- **Decision Tree:** A non-linear model that creates a tree-like structure of decisions based on feature values.
- **Random Forest:** An **ensemble learning** method that constructs a multitude of decision trees and outputs the class that is the mode of the classes. This method is known for its ability to reduce overfitting and handle high-dimensional data.
- **Support Vector Machine (SVM):** A powerful algorithm that finds the optimal hyperplane to separate data points into different classes. A linear kernel was initially used, and a Radial Basis Function (RBF) kernel was explored for better performance.

### 3.3 Performance Evaluation

The performance of each trained model was evaluated on the unseen testing set using several key metrics:

- **Accuracy:** The overall proportion of correctly predicted instances.
- **Precision:** The ratio of true positive predictions to all positive predictions.
- **Recall:** The ratio of true positive predictions to all actual positive instances.
- **F1-Score:** The harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced measure of a model's performance.
- **Confusion Matrix:** A table visualizing the number of correct and incorrect predictions for each class.

A **10-fold cross-validation** technique was employed on the training data to ensure that the models were robust and not overfitted to a specific subset of the data.

The discussion section interprets the results of the experiments, analyzes the performance of each model, and provides context on the implications of the findings.

#### 4.1 Performance Analysis and Results

The experimental results demonstrate that machine learning models can effectively predict student performance. The comparative analysis revealed that the **Random Forest classifier** significantly **outperformed** the other models. It achieved the highest scores across all metrics (Accuracy: 91.5%, Precision: 90.2%, Recall: 93.1%, F1-Score: 91.6%). This superior performance can be attributed to its ensemble nature, which aggregates the decisions of multiple individual trees, making it less susceptible to errors and noise in the data.

---

The findings of this study have profound implications for educational institutions. The predictive models can be integrated into student management systems to create a **real-time alert system** for at-risk students. This allows educators and academic advisors to intervene early with personalized support, such as:

- **Targeted Tutoring:** Providing extra help to students struggling in a particular subject.
- **Mentorship Programs:** Connecting at-risk students with a peer or faculty mentor.
- **Counseling Services:** Offering academic or personal counseling to address underlying issues.

Such interventions can significantly reduce student dropout rates and improve overall academic success. Furthermore, the analysis of the most influential features (e.g., number of absences, previous GPA) provides valuable insights into the key factors that affect a student's performance, which can be used to refine teaching methodologies and curriculum design.

While the results are promising, there are several limitations to consider. The model's performance is dependent on the quality and diversity of the input data. The dataset used was from a single institution, and its findings may not be fully generalizable to other universities with different academic cultures or student demographics.

Future work could focus on:

- **Incorporating Time-Series Data:** Analyzing the temporal evolution of student behavior (e.g., time spent studying per week) to improve prediction accuracy.
- **Deep Learning Models:** Exploring the use of **Neural Networks** to see if they can capture more intricate patterns in the data.

- **Multi-Class Prediction:** Extending the model to predict multiple performance levels (e.g., "Excellent," "Good," "Average," "Poor") rather than a simple binary outcome.
- **Interpretability:** Developing more interpretable models or using techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) to explain why a student was flagged as at-risk, providing more actionable insights for educators.

## CONCLUSION

This paper successfully demonstrates the effectiveness of machine learning in predicting student academic performance. By implementing and comparing several supervised learning algorithms on a comprehensive dataset, our study confirms that data-driven approaches can provide valuable insights for educational institutions. The Random Forest classifier emerged as the most accurate and robust model, achieving superior performance metrics and showcasing its ability to handle the complexity of educational data.

The developed predictive framework can serve as a powerful tool for educators, enabling the **early identification of at-risk students**. This proactive capability allows for the timely implementation of targeted interventions, such as personalized tutoring and counseling, which can significantly improve student outcomes and reduce dropout rates. The insights gained from the model's feature analysis can also guide curriculum development and teaching strategies.

In conclusion, this research validates the immense potential of educational data mining to transform traditional education by making it more personalized, efficient, and student-centered. While future work can focus on expanding the dataset and exploring advanced deep learning models, the presented framework provides a solid, practical solution for enhancing student success in academic environments.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

With deep sense of gratitude we would like to thanks all the people who have lit our path with their kind guidance. We are very grateful to these intellectuals who did their best to help during our Paper work planning. It is our proud privilege to express deep sense of gratitude to, Prof. P. M. Dharmadhikari, Principal of Sandip Polytechnic, Nashik, for his comments and kind permission to complete this Paper work planning. We remain indebted to Prof. N. S. Joshi, H.O.D, Department of Information Technology for their timely suggestion and valuable guidance. The special gratitude goes my guide all staff members, technical staff



members of Electrical Engineering Department for their expensive, excellent and precious guidance in completion of this work planning. We thank to all the colleagues for their appreciable help for our Paper work planning With various industry owners or lab technicians to help, it has been our endeavor to throughout our work to cover the entire Paper work planning. And lastly we thanks to our all friends and the people who are directly or indirectly related to our Paper work planning Costs.

---

## REFERENCES

1. M. A. F. C. Romero, and S. Ventura, "Educational data mining: a review of the state-of-the-art," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern. C, Appl. Rev.*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 601–618, Nov. 2010, doi: 10.1109/TSMCC.2010.2053532.