

## THE INTERPERSONAL COSTS OF MOBILE PHONE ADDICTION: A SYNTHESIS OF CURRENT EVIDENCE

**\*Dr. Herbert Wanga**

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, University of Iringa, Tanzania.

Article Received: 23 November 2025, Article Revised: 13 December 2025, Published on: 03 January 2026

**\*Corresponding Author: Dr. Herbert Wanga**

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, University of Iringa, Tanzania.

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.9995>

### ABSTRACT

The proliferation of smartphones has revolutionized communication but has also given rise to problematic usage patterns that negatively impact interpersonal relationships. This article synthesizes findings from contemporary research to explore the multifaceted phenomenon of mobile phone addiction (MPA) and its consequences on relational dynamics in romantic, familial, and peer contexts. Key mechanisms include phubbing (phone snubbing), which directly erodes interaction quality, and underlying psychological factors such as fear of missing out (FOMO), social anxiety, alexithymia, and low self-control. These factors often create a vicious cycle: individuals may turn to their phones to alleviate negative emotions or social deficits, which in turn further impairs real-world connections, leading to increased loneliness, conflict, and relational dissatisfaction. The review highlights protective factors like mindfulness and discusses implications for multi-level intervention. Addressing phone addiction requires a holistic approach that considers individual, relational, and environmental influences to foster healthier digital habits and preserve meaningful human connection.

**KEYWORDS:** phone addiction, phubbing, interpersonal relationships, social anxiety, mindfulness, self-control

### INTRODUCTION

Smartphones have become ubiquitous tools, offering unparalleled connectivity and access to information. However, their pervasive use has led to growing concerns about mobile phone addiction (MPA), a behavioral addiction characterized by an inability to control smartphone

use, leading to significant impairment in daily functioning (Billieux, 2012; Salehan & Negahban, 2013).

For the purposes of this review, MPA is used synonymously with "problematic smartphone use," encompassing concepts like compulsive and dependent use. While extensive research has documented the individual psychological costs of MPA, including anxiety, depression, and poor sleep quality (Elhai et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017), its impact on the fabric of interpersonal relationships is equally profound and warrants comprehensive examination.

MPA disrupts relationships through both direct behavioral pathways, such as the act of phubbing, and indirect psychological mechanisms that reduce an individual's capacity for emotional availability and connection (Chotpitayasunondh & Douglas, 2018; Roberts & David, 2016). This article synthesizes current empirical evidence to explore how phone addiction erodes relational quality across family, romantic, and peer contexts. It examines key predictors and mediators, including emotional deficits, social anxiety, and self-regulation, and concludes with evidence-based recommendations for mitigation and future research directions.

## **THE PHENOMENON OF PHUBBING: A DIRECT PATHWAY TO RELATIONAL STRAIN**

One of the most direct ways MPA impacts relationships is through phubbing (a portmanteau of "phone" and "snubbing"), the act of snubbing someone in a social setting by paying attention to one's phone instead of the conversation partner (Chotpitayasunondh & Douglas, 2016). This behavior signals disinterest and disrespect, degrading the quality of social interactions.

Research consistently shows that the mere presence of a phone during a conversation can reduce perceived empathy, trust, and relationship satisfaction. Conversations that occur in the presence of a mobile device are rated as less meaningful and satisfying compared to those without (Misra et al., 2014; Przybylski & Weinstein, 2013). In romantic relationships, partner phubbing is a significant source of conflict, leading to lower marital satisfaction, increased feelings of jealousy, and higher levels of depression (Roberts & David, 2016). This negative effect extends to parent-child dynamics, where parental phubbing models inattentiveness and predicts higher levels of MPA in adolescents, creating an intergenerational cycle of phone dependency (Xie et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020).

## **PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS LINKING PHONE ADDICTION TO RELATIONAL DETERIORATION**

Beyond observable behavior, MPA is deeply intertwined with underlying psychological states that both contribute to and result from addictive use. This relationship can be understood through theoretical lenses such as the Uses and Gratifications approach, where the phone is used to fulfill unmet needs, and the I-PACE model, which outlines a process of addiction involving predisposing variables, affective and cognitive responses, and executive dysfunction (Brand et al., 2016). These factors create a negative feedback loop that strains relationships.

### **Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) and Social Media Intrusion**

The constant connectivity afforded by smartphones fosters a fear of missing out (FOMO), a pervasive anxiety that others are having rewarding experiences from which one is absent (Przybylski et al., 2013). This anxiety drives compulsive checking behaviors and excessive social media use, which intrudes on real-time interactions and diminishes the quality of face-to-face communication (Chaudhry, 2015), illustrating a gratification-seeking pattern that undermines present engagement.

### **Social Anxiety and Emotional Deficits**

Individuals with high social anxiety often prefer online interactions to avoid the stress of face-to-face communication (Lee & Stapinski, 2012). This avoidance reinforces dependency on phones for social fulfillment, further isolating them and stunting the development of offline social skills (Darcin et al., 2016; Xiao & Huang, 2022). Furthermore, alexithymia, difficulty in identifying and describing emotions, is a strong predictor of MPA. Meta-analytic findings indicate a significant positive correlation ( $r = 0.41$ ) between alexithymia and MPA, suggesting that emotionally dysregulated individuals may use their phones to cope with or avoid emotional discomfort, exacerbating relational difficulties (Huang et al., 2022; Mei et al., 2018).

### **The Role of Self-Control**

Self-control is a critical protective factor against MPA. Low self-control is a key predictor of compulsive phone use, which interferes with social responsibilities and intimacy (Jiang & Zhao, 2016). This aligns with the I-PACE model's focus on impaired executive control. Conversely, activities that enhance self-regulation, such as physical exercise, have been

shown to negatively predict MPA. Studies indicate that exercise enhances regulatory capacity, which in turn reduces dependency on phones (Guo et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2019).

### **Adverse Childhood Experiences and Attachment Styles**

Early life experiences also play a role, strongly informed by Attachment Theory. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), such as abuse or household dysfunction, predict higher levels of phone addiction in young adults. Insecure attachment styles (anxiety and avoidance) and poor interpersonal relationships serially mediate this relationship, indicating that individuals may turn to their phones for the emotional comfort and security they lack in their offline lives (Li et al., 2020).

### **CONSEQUENCES FOR RELATIONSHIPS**

The combined effects of phubbing and these psychological mechanisms lead to several detrimental outcomes for relationships:

- **Reduced Face-to-Face Interaction:** Time spent on phones displaces meaningful in-person interaction, a phenomenon known as *technoference* (McDaniel & Coyne, 2016).
- **Emotional Disconnection:** Constant distraction makes individuals less attuned to emotional cues, leading to misunderstandings and a sense of neglect among partners and family members (Rajanen et al., 2017).
- **Increased Loneliness and Isolation:** Paradoxically, while phones are often used to alleviate loneliness, they can intensify it. Online interactions frequently fail to provide the emotional depth of offline connections, leading to increased feelings of loneliness (Shen & Wang, 2019; Ivanova et al., 2020).
- **Relational Conflict:** Phone use during shared activities provokes conflict and perceptions of rudeness, eroding trust and intimacy over time (Park et al., 2013).

### **PROTECTIVE AND MITIGATING FACTORS**

**Mindfulness**, nonjudgmental awareness of the present moment, has been identified as a significant buffer against the negative effects of MPA. Mindful individuals are better able to regulate their phone use, engage authentically with others, and are less likely to engage in phubbing (Liu et al., 2017; Bauer et al., 2017). Empirical support includes studies showing that brief mindfulness training can reduce smartphone dependency and associated stress. Furthermore, *values education* promoting empathy, solidarity, and self-control has been shown to reduce smartphone addiction among students (Merma-Molina et al., 2021). From a design perspective, *artificial intelligence-aided design (AIAD)* offers promising avenues for

mitigating addiction by analyzing user behavior to identify problematic patterns (e.g., late-night use, compulsive app-opening) and suggest healthier engagement strategies, such as proactive notifications to take breaks or "nudges" to lock certain apps during designated times (Yang et al., 2020).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The evidence clearly demonstrates that phone addiction significantly impairs interpersonal relationships through a complex interplay of behavior, cognition, and emotion. Phubbing acts as a direct behavioral insult to relational quality, while psychological factors like FOMO, social anxiety, alexithymia, and low self-control create a vulnerability to addiction that further strains social bonds. This creates a self-perpetuating cycle where phone use both compensates for and exacerbates relational deficits.

It is important to acknowledge that the manifestation and impact of MPA may vary across cultural and demographic groups. For instance, norms around communication and device use differ culturally, which could influence phubbing perceptions. Furthermore, adolescents and young adults may be particularly vulnerable due to developmental social needs, and gender differences in the expression of social anxiety or addiction patterns warrant further exploration in future research.

Addressing this issue requires a multi-pronged approach:

1. **Individual-Level Interventions:** Promoting mindfulness training, emotional literacy programs, and cognitive-behavioral strategies to enhance self-regulation and reduce social anxiety.
2. **Relational-Level Interventions:** Encouraging mindful communication within families and couples, establishing phone-free zones (e.g., during meals), and integrating digital wellness into family therapy.
3. **Societal-Level Interventions:** Implementing values-based digital literacy education in schools, fostering public awareness campaigns about phubbing, and encouraging technology designers to prioritize user well-being over engagement metrics through ethical AIAD.

Future research should employ longitudinal and experimental designs to establish causality and more thoroughly explore cultural and demographic variations. As smartphones remain integral to modern life, the challenge is not to eliminate their use but to cultivate a balanced

relationship with technology that supports, rather than undermines, our fundamental human need for connection.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Bauer, A. A., Loy, L. S., Masur, P. K., & Schneider, F. M. (2017). Mindful instant messaging: Mindfulness and autonomous motivation as predictors of well-being in smartphone communication. *Journal of Media Psychology*, 29(3), 159–165. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-1105/a000225>
2. Billieux, J. (2012). Problematic use of the mobile phone: A literature review and a pathways model. *Current Psychiatry Reviews*, 8(4), 299–307. <https://doi.org/10.2174/157340012803520522>
3. Brand, M., Young, K. S., Laier, C., Wölfling, K., & Potenza, M. N. (2016). Integrating psychological and neurobiological considerations regarding the development and maintenance of specific Internet-use disorders: An Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 71, 252–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.033>
4. Chaudhry, L. A. (2015). *Can you please put your phone away? Examining how the FOMO phenomenon and mobile phone addiction affect human relationships*. Undergraduate Research Posters, 143. <https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/urespsters/143>
5. Chotpitayasunondh, V., & Douglas, K. M. (2016). How "phubbing" becomes the norm: The antecedents and consequences of snubbing via smartphone. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.018>
6. Chotpitayasunondh, V., & Douglas, K. M. (2018). The effects of "phubbing" on social interaction. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 48(6), 304–316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12506>
7. Darcin, A. E., Rose, S., Noyan, C. O., Nurmedov, S., Yilmaz, O., & Dilbaz, N. (2016). Smartphone addiction and its relationship with social anxiety and loneliness. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 35(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2016.1158319>
8. Elhai, J. D., Dvorak, R. D., Levine, J. C., & Hall, B. J. (2017). Problematic smartphone use: A conceptual overview and systematic review of relations with anxiety and

- depression psychopathology. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 207, 251–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.030>
9. Guo, K., Ma, Q., Yao, S., Liu, C., Hui, Z., Jiang, J., & Lin, X. (2022). The relationship between physical exercise and mobile phone addiction tendency of university students in China: A moderated mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 730886. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.730886>
  10. Huang, H., Wan, X., Lu, G., Ding, Y., & Chen, C. (2022). The relationship between alexithymia and mobile phone addiction among mainland Chinese students: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 754542. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.754542>
  11. Ivanova, A., Gorbaniuk, O., Blachnio, A., Przepiórka, A., Mraka, N., Polishchuk, V., & Gorbaniuk, J. (2020). Mobile phone addiction, phubbing, and depression among men and women: A moderated mediation analysis. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 91(3), 655–668. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-020-09723-8>
  12. Jiang, Z., & Zhao, X. (2016). Self-control and problematic mobile phone use in Chinese college students: The mediating role of mobile phone use patterns. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16(1), 416. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-016-1131-z>
  13. Lee, B. W., & Stapinski, L. A. (2012). Seeking safety on the internet: Relationship between social anxiety and problematic internet use. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 26(1), 197–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2011.11.001>
  14. Li, W., Zhang, X., Chu, M., & Li, G. (2020). The impact of adverse childhood experiences on mobile phone addiction in Chinese college students: A serial multiple mediator model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 834. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00834>
  15. Liu, Q. Q., Zhou, Z. K., Yang, X. J., Kong, F. C., Niu, G. F., & Fan, C. Y. (2017). Mobile phone addiction and sleep quality among Chinese adolescents: A moderated mediation model. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 72, 108–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.02.042>
  16. McDaniel, B. T., & Coyne, S. M. (2016). "Technoference": The interference of technology in couple relationships and implications for women's personal and relational well-being. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 5(1), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000065>
  17. Mei, S., Xu, G., Gao, T., Ren, H., & Li, J. (2018). The relationship between college students' alexithymia and mobile phone addiction: Testing mediation and moderation effects. *BMC Psychiatry*, 18, 329. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1891-8>



18. Merma-Molina, G., Gavilán-Martín, D., & Álvarez-Herrero, J. F. (2021). Education for sustainable development: The impact of the values in mobile phone addiction. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1479. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031479>
19. Misra, S., Cheng, L., Genevie, J., & Yuan, M. (2014). The iPhone effect: The quality of in-person social interactions in the presence of mobile devices. *Environment and Behavior*, 48(2), 275–298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916514539755>
20. Park, J., Han, S. H., Kim, H. K., Cho, Y., & Park, W. (2013). Developing elements of user experience for mobile phones and services: Survey, interview, and observation approaches. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, 23(4), 279–293. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20316>
21. Przybylski, A. K., Murayama, K., DeHaan, C. R., & Gladwell, V. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(4), 1841–1848. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.02.014>
22. Przybylski, A. K., & Weinstein, N. (2013). Can you connect with me now? How the presence of mobile communication technology influences face-to-face conversation quality. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 30(3), 237–246. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407512453827>
23. Rajanen, D., Clemmensen, T., Ilvari, N., Inal, Y., Rzavanoglu, K., Sivaji, A., & others. (2017). UX professionals' definitions of usability and UX—A comparison between Turkey, Finland, Denmark, France and Malaysia. *IFIP Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, 218–239. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68059-0\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68059-0_14)
24. Roberts, J. A., & David, M. E. (2016). My life has become a major distraction from my cell phone: Partner phubbing and relationship satisfaction among romantic partners. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 54, 134–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.058>
25. Salehan, M., & Negahban, A. (2013). Social networking on smartphones: When mobile phones become addictive. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(6), 2632–2639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.07.003>
26. Shen, X., & Wang, J. L. (2019). Loneliness and excessive smartphone use among Chinese college students: Moderated mediation effect of perceived stress and motivation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 95, 31–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.012>
27. Xiao, Z., & Huang, J. (2022). The relation between college students' social anxiety and mobile phone addiction: The mediating role of regulatory emotional self-efficacy and subjective well-being. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 861527. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.861527>



28. Xie, X., Chen, W., Zhu, X., & He, D. (2019). Parents' phubbing increases adolescents' mobile phone addiction: Roles of parent-child attachment, deviant peers, and gender. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 105, 104426.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2019.104426>
29. Yang, B., Wei, L., & Pu, Z. (2020). Measuring and improving user experience through artificial intelligence-aided design. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 595374.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.595374>
30. Yang, G., Tan, G. X., Li, Y. X., Liu, H. Y., & Wang, S. T. (2019). Physical exercise decreases the mobile phone dependence of university students in China: The mediating role of self-control. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(21), 4098. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16214098>
31. Zhang, Y., Ding, Q., & Wang, Z. (2020). Why parental phubbing is at risk for adolescent mobile phone addiction: A serial mediating model. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 121, 105873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105873>