www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-10

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Research Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 09

PRODUCT MARKETING STRATEGIES, DIGITAL ADOPTION, AND VALUE REALIZATION IN THE INDIAN MSME SECTOR: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

***Dr. Chitranka K.**

D. Litt Scholar, Sikkim Sardar Patel University, Namchi, Sikkim, India.

Article Received: 13 August 2026, Article Revised: 03 September 2026, Published on: 23 September 2026

***Corresponding Author: Dr. Chitranka K.**
D. Litt Scholar, Sikkim Sardar Patel University, Namchi, Sikkim, India.
Doi: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.8083

ABSTRACT

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) constitute the backbone of the Indian economy, contributing over 30% to national Gross Domestic Product (GDP), nearly 45% to manufacturing output, and providing employment to over 110 million individuals. Despite their macroeconomic significance, MSMEs face severe structural constraints in product marketing, brand positioning, customer acquisition, and value chain integration. Historically dependent on multi-layered traditional intermediary networks, MSMEs suffer from margin erosion, informational asymmetry, and constrained market reach. This study examines product marketing transformations across Indian MSMEs, evaluating the impact of digital marketing adoption, direct-to-consumer (F2C/D2C) channels, social commerce, and institutional cluster support on enterprise performance and value realization. Integrating secondary data from government databases, Reserve Bank of India (RBI) market studies, and empirical survey findings from 350 MSME units and enterprise collectives, this paper demonstrates that digital channel integration and brand-building practices deliver significant margin uplifts (18% to 35%) and enhance customer retention. The analysis culminates in a strategic framework for MSME product marketing, detailing operational interventions for last-mile logistics, digital traceability, and collective branding.

KEYWORDS: Indian MSMEs, Product Marketing, Digital Transformation, Value Chain Optimization, Direct-to-Consumer. (F2C)

INTRODUCTION

The Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprise (MSME) sector is widely recognized as a critical engine of economic growth, innovation, and equitable development in emerging economies. In India, the MSME landscape comprises more than 63 million enterprises spread across manufacturing, processing, trading, and services. Following the revised classification under the Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises Development (MSMED) Act, MSMEs are categorized based on composite investment in plant/machinery and turnover criteria, encompassing a highly heterogeneous spectrum ranging from micro-scale artisan units and agri-business processing centers to tech-enabled urban manufacturing firms.

Despite their vital economic contribution, product marketing remains one of the most formidable operational bottlenecks for Indian MSMEs. Unlike large multinational corporations or established domestic enterprise conglomerates, MSMEs operate under severe resource constraints, characterized by limited promotional budgets, absence of dedicated marketing divisions, weak supply chain visibility, and inadequate market research capabilities. Consequently, a vast majority of Indian MSMEs rely on traditional physical distribution networks governed by brokers, distributors, and regional wholesalers (the traditional Farmer/Producer-to-Intermediary-to-Consumer or P2I2C model). While these intermediaries provide immediate liquidity, they extract substantial economic markups, leaving primary producers and micro-manufacturers with minimal price realization.

In recent years, the rapid proliferation of digital infrastructure—catalyzed by ubiquitous smartphone adoption, affordable broadband connectivity, the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), Open Network for Digital Commerce (ONDC), and e-commerce platforms—has presented unprecedented opportunities for MSME product marketing. Modern product marketing goes beyond transactional selling; it encompasses market orientation, product quality perception, digital packaging, brand trust, multi-channel promotional strategies, and customer relationship management (CRM).

This research investigates the operational dynamics of product marketing in the Indian MSME sector. It examines how digital adoption, direct marketing models, and institutional cluster interventions allow micro and small enterprises to overcome conventional marketing myopia, optimize pricing strategies, build resilient brand equity, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

Literature Review

1. Economic Significance and Marketing Vulnerabilities of MSMEs

The foundational literature on enterprise development highlights the vital role of MSMEs in fostering regional industrialization and job creation (Alagh, 1999; Anderson et al., 2018). However, classical economic studies underscore that small enterprise survival is perpetually threatened by marketing deficiencies rather than manufacturing constraints (Levitt, 1984; Smith et al., 2010). In developing economies, MSMEs face institutional voids, information asymmetry, and high transaction costs that hinder their ability to access high-value urban and international markets (Chikweche & Fletcher, 2014; Mishra & Kumar, 2012).

Marketing myopia in small firms typically manifests as an over-focus on core manufacturing or commodity output while neglecting consumer research, branding, and value addition (Levitt, 1984; Saboo et al., 2016). Studies by Kalotra (2013) and Kumar & Dangi (2013) on Indian rural and semi-urban product markets observe that micro-enterprises predominantly produce unbranded, commoditized goods, rendering them price-takers in highly fragmented local markets.

2. Intermediary Exploitation and Value Realization Bottlenecks

A substantial body of research analyzes the distribution architecture of Indian enterprise supply chains, particularly in agri-business, handlooms, food processing, and light manufacturing (Moyo et al., 2024; Prockl et al., 2021). Traditional supply chains feature multi-tiered intermediary nodes where products pass through primary brokers, regional wholesalers, local commission agents, and terminal retailers before reaching the end consumer. Reserve Bank of India (RBI) analytical reports indicate that intermediaries routinely claim between 40% and 65% of the terminal price through cumulative markups, transport surcharges, and handling fees.

Post-harvest and transit losses, inefficient warehousing, and lack of cold-chain infrastructure further compound economic losses for perishable and semi-perishable goods (Moyo et al., 2024). Consequently, primary producers capture a disproportionately small fraction of the end-consumer rupee. Research on cluster dynamics (Giles et al., 2013; Sharma & Vij, 2026) shows that collective action through producer companies and trade clusters can mitigate individual scale limitations, enabling primary producers to aggregate volumes, standardize product quality, and negotiate higher margins.

3. Digital Transformation and E-Commerce Integration

The emergence of digital business models has revolutionized traditional product marketing paradigms (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2022; Heggde & Shainesh, 2018). The convergence

of Social, Mobility, Analytics, and Cloud (SMAC) technology stacks provides small businesses with cost-effective tools to engage directly with targeted consumer segments (Heggde & Shainesh, 2018). Digital channels flatten traditional distribution hierarchies, enabling direct Producer-to-Consumer (P2C) and Business-to-Consumer (B2C) exchanges.

Empirical studies on digital adoption in emerging markets highlight that social media marketing platforms (e.g., WhatsApp Business, Instagram, Facebook Marketplace) allow micro-enterprises to bypass conventional advertising barriers and leverage electronic Word-of-Mouth (eWOM) and social network ties (Alauddin et al., 2025; Sirdeshmukh et al., 2002). Furthermore, integration with e-commerce marketplaces (e.g., Amazon, Flipkart) and quick-commerce ecosystems (e.g., Blinkit, Zepto, Swiggy Instamart) offers MSMEs instant access to nationwide distribution infrastructure, real-time demand signals, and automated payment settlement systems (Lisana, 2021; Seella, 2026).

4. Institutional Models and Collective Product Branding

Institutional innovations such as Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), industry cluster cooperatives, and state-supported handicraft federations play a pivotal role in operationalizing direct marketing models (Chitranka, 2023). Originating from policy recommendations under the Companies Act and supported by NABARD and SFAC, producer collectives enable micro-producers to build dedicated product brands, obtain quality certifications (e.g., FSSAI, organic labels, Geographical Indication tags), and implement digital traceability through QR codes (Chitranka & Shanmugan, 2026).

Successful case studies such as Sahyadri Farms in Maharashtra and Keystone Foundation in Southern India illustrate how aggregated marketing, standardized packaging, and direct institutional supply agreements allow member enterprises to achieve 15% to 40% higher profit realizations compared to conventional channel sales.

5. Consumer Trust, Quality Perception, and Purchase Behavior

Consumer behavior research in emerging markets emphasizes that brand trust, perceived product quality, and communal social influence are critical determinants of purchase intention (Ajzen, 1991; Bagozzi et al., 1999; Sirdeshmukh et al., 2002). In rural and semi-urban Indian markets, price sensitivity is combined with an increasing preference for hygienic, traceable, and locally produced goods (Haque, 2014; Sharma et al., 2025). When MSMEs adopt digital branding—featuring transparent origin labelling, digital payment options, and responsive customer care—they bridges the trust gap historically exploited by established corporate brands (Chitranka, 2023; Mourya & Verma, 2025).

Research Gap

While existing literature extensively documents macroeconomic aspects of Indian MSMEs and general digital marketing frameworks, significant research gaps remain:

1. Limited Empirical Synthesis of Direct Channel Economics: Few studies provide a comparative economic assessment of profit margins across traditional multi-intermediary channels (P2I2C) versus digitally enabled direct (P2C/F2C) product marketing channels for Indian MSMEs.

2. Lack of Integrated Multi-Channel Marketing Frameworks for MSME Clusters: Extant research focuses either on e-commerce adoption or social media in isolation, lacking an integrated operational model that combines physical aggregation hubs, digital market platforms, and quick-commerce fulfillment for micro-enterprise clusters.

3. Empirical Evaluation of Digital Trust Drivers in Non-Urban Markets: The precise quantitative impact of digital quality assurance (e.g., QR-code traceability, brand packaging, local language digital engagement) on consumer trust and repeat purchase behavior for MSME products remains underexplored.

Hypotheses

To address the identified research gaps, the following three core hypotheses are formulated:

Hypothesis 1 (H1): *Higher adoption of digital product marketing channels significantly increases direct price realization and net profit margins for Indian MSMEs compared to traditional multi-layered intermediary distribution channels.*

Hypothesis 2 (H2): *Brand packaging and digital quality assurance (including QR-code traceability and standardized certifications) positively mediate the relationship between consumer brand trust and repeat purchase intention for MSME products.*

Hypothesis 3 (H3): *Institutional cluster-level digital support infrastructure reduces operational marketing and distribution expenses, leading to enhanced overall enterprise sustainability.*

Research Methodology

1. Research Design

This study employs a sequential mixed-methods research design, combining qualitative field research with empirical quantitative analysis to examine MSME product marketing strategies across diverse Indian product categories (including processed agri-foods, handlooms/handicrafts, consumer goods, and light engineering goods).

2. Sample and Data Collection

Primary Survey Data: Data was collected from 350 active MSME units, Producer Companies (FPOs), and micro-industrial enterprise collectives across key enterprise hubs in Karnataka, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, and West Bengal. Structured questionnaires were administered to enterprise founders, marketing heads, and cluster managers.

Consumer Survey Data: Parallel primary data was gathered from a randomized sample of 420 urban and semi-urban consumers across Metro and Tier-2 cities evaluating product perceptions, brand trust, digital payment adoption, and purchase frequency of MSME-branded products.

Secondary Corporate & Policy Context: Empirical context was supplemented by secondary dataset synthesis from RBI market studies, MSME Ministry annual publications, and verified cluster financial records.

3. Analytical Framework & Variables

Dependent Variables: Net Margin Realization (%), Repeat Purchase Intention (5-point Likert scale), Enterprise Growth Rate.

Independent Variables: Digital Marketing Adoption Index (spanning social commerce, e-commerce listing, UPI integration, digital ads), Product Branding & Traceability Level, Institutional Cluster Support.

Mediating/Moderating Variables: Consumer Brand Trust, Supply Chain Logistics Efficiency.

Statistical Tools: Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), Paired Sample t-tests for margin comparisons, ANOVA, and Multiple Linear Regression using statistical software packages.

RESULTS

1. Channel Economic Comparison (P2I2C vs. Direct Digital P2C)

Product Category	Traditional Channel (P2I2C) Farmer/Producer Margin (%)	Digital Direct (P2C) Producer Margin (%)	Intermediary Markups Saved (%)	Net Profit Uplift (%)
Processed Foods & Spices	22.4%	48.6%	34.2%	+26.2%
Fresh	18.2%	42.5%	38.0%	+24.3%

Product Category	Traditional Channel (P2I2C) Farmer/Producer Margin (%)	Digital Direct (P2C) Producer Margin (%)	Intermediary Markups Saved (%)	Net Profit Uplift (%)
Organic Produce				
Handlooms & Crafts	25.0%	58.1%	41.5%	+33.1%
Light Consumer Staples	28.5%	49.0%	26.5%	+20.5%

Comparative financial analysis across surveyed MSME product categories demonstrates stark differences in price realization and margin structures between traditional intermediary channels and digitally enabled direct channels:

The paired t-test confirmed that the margin realization in direct digital channels is significantly higher than in traditional intermediary channels ($t = 14.82$, $p < 0.001$), providing strong empirical support for **Hypothesis 1 (H1)**.

2. Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Path Analysis

Structural model testing evaluated the relationships between digital marketing adoption, brand packaging, consumer trust, and repeat purchase intentions:

1. Digital Marketing Adoption → Direct Price Realization: Path coefficient $\beta = 0.46$, $p < 0.001$.

2. Product Branding & Quality Traceability → Consumer Brand Trust: Path coefficient $\beta = 0.52$, $p < 0.001$.

3. Consumer Brand Trust → Repeat Purchase Intention: Path coefficient $\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$.

4. Mediation Analysis: Mediation testing demonstrated that Consumer Brand Trust accounts for 62.4% of the indirect effect between digital brand packaging/traceability and repeat purchase intention ($z = 5.84$, $p < 0.001$), confirming **Hypothesis 2 (H2)**.

3. Institutional Cluster Support and Cost Efficiency

Analysis of enterprise units operating within digitally enabled clusters (FPO federations and industrial clusters with shared digital infrastructure) vs. unorganized standalone units showed:

Marketing and promotional expenses per unit sales were **31.5% lower** in supported clusters due to shared digital cataloging, collective social media campaigns, and bulk logistics contracts.

Overall enterprise operational sustainability scores were significantly higher in cluster-supported MSMEs ($\beta = 0.39$, $p < 0.005$), confirming **Hypothesis 3 (H3)**.

CONCLUSION

This study provides comprehensive empirical evidence that strategic product marketing, supported by digital transformation and direct-to-consumer models, represents a powerful lever for income growth and competitive sustainability in the Indian MSME sector. By transitioning away from passive reliance on multi-layered intermediary networks and actively adopting digital marketing tools, MSMEs can recover lost economic value, improve profit margins by 18% to 35%, and establish direct relationships with consumers.

The findings highlight that digital product marketing is not merely a promotional tool, but a fundamental business model transformation requiring:

1. Quality Standardisation and Digital Traceability: Implementing QR-code-enabled product origin information and standardized packaging builds consumer trust and differentiates MSME goods from generic commodities.

2. Omnichannel Fulfillment Dynamics: Combining social media discovery (WhatsApp, Instagram) with e-commerce listing and quick-commerce integration allows MSMEs to meet modern consumer expectations for convenience and freshness.

3. Hub-and-Spoke Cluster Aggregation: Industrial clusters and FPO federations serve as critical aggregation nodes that achieve economies of scale in warehousing, last-mile logistics, and digital branding.

Managerial & Policy Recommendations

For MSME Entrepreneurs: Invest in basic digital capabilities, including standardized digital catalogs, UPI integration, active social media engagement, and QR-code quality certifications.

For Industry Associations & Collectives: Establish regional digital marketing hubs and shared fulfillment centers to reduce per-unit logistics and customer acquisition costs.

For Policymakers: Expand state support for digital enablement under the MSME Innovative Scheme, accelerate MSME onboarding onto the Open Network for Digital Commerce (ONDC), and provide targeted subsidies for cold-chain and packaging technology adoption.

REFERENCES

1. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
2. Alagh, Y. K. (1999). *Report of the High-Powered Committee on Producer Companies*. Government of India, Ministry of Law, Justice and Company Affairs.
3. Alauddin, M., Fitri, D., et al. (2025). Tradition to technology: The transformation of culture in the social media era. *Asian Journal of Media and Communication*, 9(1), 45–62.
4. Anderson, S. J., Chandy, R., & Zia, B. (2018). Pathways to profits: The impact of marketing vs. finance skills on business performance. *Management Science*, 64(12), 5559–5583.
5. Bagozzi, R. P., Gopinath, M., & Nyer, P. U. (1999). The role of emotions in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 27(2), 184–206.
6. Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2022). *Digital Marketing: Strategy, Implementation and Practice* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
7. Chikweche, T., & Fletcher, R. (2014). Rise of the middle of the pyramid: Consumer purchase decision making in emerging markets. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 31(4), 287–298.
8. Chitranka, K. (2023). Comparison between branding in India and developed nations: FMCG perspective. *World Journal of Management and Economics*, 17(3), 112–128.
9. Chitranka, K., & Shanmugan, B. (2026). Impact of digital business by Farmer Producer Organizations in connecting rural producers with urban consumers: Indian F2C model overview. *International Journal of Research in Management*, 8(2), 56–60.
10. Giles, E., Bosworth, G., & Willett, J. (2013). The role of local perceptions in the marketing of rural areas. *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 2(1), 4–13.
11. Haque, R. (2014). Consumer shopping behavior in rural and urban areas of India: Empirical study. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*, 8(2), 89–101.
12. Heggde, G., & Shainesh, G. (Eds.). (2018). *Social Media Marketing: Emerging Concepts and Applications*. Palgrave Macmillan / Springer Nature.
13. Kalotra, A. (2013). Rural marketing potential in India: An analytical study. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Management Studies*, 1(7), 102–110.
14. Kumar, P., & Dangi, N. (2013). Rural marketing in India: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Management and Social Sciences Research*, 2(8), 93–100.
15. Levitt, T. (1984). Marketing myopia. *Journal of Library Administration*, 4(4), 59–80.

16. Lisana, L. (2021). Factors influencing the adoption of mobile payment systems in emerging economies. *International Journal of Web Information Systems*, 17(3), 210–228.
17. Mishra, S., & Kumar, D. (2012). Rural marketing in India: Challenges and opportunities. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Article 2352405.
18. Mourya, P., & Verma, S. (2025). Consumer perception and acceptance of green marketing practices in emerging economies: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology*, 12(1), 340–352.
19. Moyo, E., Carstens, S., & Walters, J. (2024). Simulation model for a sustainable food supply chain in a developing country. *Logistics*, 8(2), 41–58.
20. Prockl, G., Williger, B., Tampe, M., Vakulenko, Y., & Hellström, D. (2021). Rural supply chain management: A multidimensional framework. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 51(7), 712–735.
21. Saboo, A. R., Chakravarty, A., & Grewal, R. (2016). Organizational debut on the public stage: Marketing myopia and initial public offerings. *Marketing Science*, 35(4), 656–675.
22. Seella, A. (2026). Data-driven prediction of advertising digital campaign effectiveness using artificial intelligence. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 17(1), 88–99.
23. Sharma, A., & Vij, S. (2026). Dynamic capability for sustainable business performance: A multiphase iterative study. *SCMS Journal of Indian Management*, 23(1), 15–30.
24. Sharma, V., Bhardwaj, S., Farheen, V., et al. (2025). Rural consumer behavior in developing economies: A review of social and marketing interventions. *Journal of Marketing Studies and Research*, 19(2), 115–130.
25. Sirdeshmukh, D., Singh, J., & Sabol, B. (2002). Consumer trust, value, and loyalty in relational exchanges. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(1), 15–37.
26. Smith, N. C., Drumwright, M. E., & Gentile, M. C. (2010). The new marketing myopia. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 29(1), 4–11.