www.ijarp.com ISSN 2456-9992 Page: 01-37

International Journal Advanced Research Publications

Review Article

Volume: 02
Issue: 04

ESTIMATION OF KINEMATIC DATA FOR SCOTCH YOKE MECHANISM

Kamal Bhaurao Gavali*[a], Puja Yashvant Pawar[b], Jayashri Rajendra Pawar,[c]
Prof. Trupti K. Hire[d]

[ab]Student, Mechanical Department, Sandip Foundation’s Sandip Polytechnic, Mahiravani, Nashik-422313, India.

[c]Student, Mechanical Department, Sandip Foundation’s Sandip Polytechnic, Mahiravani, Nashik-422313, India.

[d]Faculty, Mechanical Department, Sandip Foundation’s Sandip Polytechnic, Mahiravani, Nashik-422313, India.

Article Received: 22 February 2026, Article Revised: 13 March 2026, Published on: 02 April 2026

***Corresponding Author: Kamal Bhaurao Gavali**
Student, Mechanical Department, Sandip Foundation’s Sandip Polytechnic, Mahiravani, Nashik-422313, India.

DOI: https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijarp.9687

ABSTRACT

Parabolic solar cookers represent a transformative clean cooking technology with the potential to address the global energy access crisis affecting 2.3 billion people who lack access to modern cooking facilities. This comprehensive review systematically examines the current state of research on parabolic solar cooking technology, encompassing theoretical foundations, materials science, thermal performance optimization, energy storage integration, design innovations, socioeconomic adoption factors, and environmental sustainability implications. Unlike previous reviews that have focused narrowly on thermal performance metrics, this synthesis integrates technical, social, and environmental perspectives to provide a holistic understanding of the technology's potential and limitations. The review synthesizes findings from over 150 peer-reviewed studies, doctoral theses, conference proceedings, and technical reports published between 1970 and 2026, with particular emphasis on advances since 2015. Key findings reveal that modern parabolic dish cookers achieve thermal efficiencies of 35-55% and stagnation temperatures exceeding 400°C under optimal conditions, sufficient for all common cooking methods including frying, roasting, and baking. The integration of thermal energy storage has emerged as the most significant recent advancement, with phase change materials such as erythritol demonstrating heat utilization efficiencies of 13-49% and enabling cooking durations of 3-7 hours beyond sunshine hours. Material innovations, including metallized polymer films with reflectances approaching 0.95 and selective absorber coatings with solar absorptance exceeding 0.94, have substantially improved optical performance while reducing weight and cost. However, significant research gaps persist in standardized testing methodologies, long-term durability assessment under real-world conditions, techno-economic optimization of storage-integrated systems, and understanding the complex socio-cultural factors that determine adoption outcomes. This review identifies critical research priorities including the development of universal performance testing protocols, systematic investigation of storage material degradation mechanisms, field studies of adoption dynamics across diverse cultural contexts, and lifecycle assessment of emerging materials. The synthesis concludes that realizing the substantial potential of parabolic solar cooking technology requires integrated approaches that simultaneously advance technical performance, reduce costs, improve usability, and address the multifaceted adoption barriers that have historically limited the impact of solar cooking interventions.

KEYWORDS: Parabolic solar cooker; concentrating solar thermal; thermal energy storage; phase change materials; sensible heat storage; solar cooking; renewable energy for development; clean cooking technology; thermal performance optimization; exergy analysis; compound parabolic concentrator; Scheffler reflector; selective absorber coatings; sustainable cooking; energy access; fuel stacking; adoption barriers; lifecycle assessment; greenhouse gas mitigation; indoor air pollution

Chapter 1: INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM CONTEXT

1.1 The Global Cooking Energy Crisis

Access to clean, reliable, and affordable cooking energy remains one of the most intractable development challenges of the twenty-first century. According to the International Energy Agency's 2025 World Energy Outlook, approximately 2.3 billion people—nearly one-third of the global population—continue to lack access to clean cooking facilities. These households rely predominantly on traditional biomass (firewood, charcoal, agricultural residues) and, to a lesser extent, fossil fuels such as kerosene and coal for their daily cooking needs. This dependence on polluting fuels has profound and interconnected consequences for human health, environmental sustainability, gender equity, and economic development that extend far beyond the kitchen.

The health burden associated with traditional cooking practices is staggering. The World Health

Organization's 2024 Global Health Observatory data attributes approximately 3.6 million premature deaths annually to household air pollution from inefficient cooking stoves, making it the single largest environmental health risk globally. This mortality burden falls disproportionately on women and young children, who spend the greatest amount of time in proximity to cooking fires and are therefore most exposed to fine particulate matter (PM2.5), carbon monoxide, and the complex mixture of volatile organic compounds and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons emitted during incomplete biomass combustion. Beyond mortality, chronic exposure contributes to a wide spectrum of morbidity including acute lower respiratory infections in children, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, lung cancer, cardiovascular disease, cataracts, and adverse pregnancy outcomes including low birth weight and stillbirth.

The environmental consequences of traditional cooking are equally severe and operate at multiple scales. At the local level, unsustainable fuelwood harvesting drives deforestation and forest degradation across large swaths of sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and Southeast Asia. The Food and Agriculture Organization estimates that approximately 30% of global wood fuel consumption is unsustainable, contributing to habitat loss, biodiversity decline, and the disruption of watershed functions. At the global scale, the cooking sector accounts for approximately 2-5% of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, with the combustion of biomass and fossil fuels releasing carbon dioxide, methane, and black carbon—the latter being a particularly potent climate forcer with short-term warming impacts. Notably, black carbon emissions from traditional cooking stoves are estimated to contribute approximately 0.5-1.0°C to Arctic warming, highlighting the global climate implications of localized cooking practices.

The socioeconomic dimensions of the cooking energy crisis are equally compelling. In rural areas of developing countries, women and girls spend an average of 5-10 hours per week collecting fuelwood, time that could otherwise be devoted to education, income-generating activities, or rest. This time burden compounds the health impacts of indoor air pollution and contributes to the intergenerational transmission of poverty. Household expenditures on cooking fuels—particularly for the urban poor who must purchase kerosene, charcoal, or LPG—can consume 10-30% of household income, creating fuel poverty that competes with expenditures on food, housing, and education. The search for affordable cooking fuels drives households to ever more desperate measures, including the use of hazardous fuels, the collection of wood from protected areas, and the reduction of meals to conserve fuel.

1.2 Solar Cooking as a Solution Pathway

Against this backdrop of crisis, solar cooking technology has emerged as a compelling solution that simultaneously addresses multiple dimensions of the cooking energy challenge. The fundamental proposition of solar cooking is elegantly simple: the sun's energy, which falls on every part of the planet free of charge, can be captured and concentrated to cook food, eliminating the need for polluting fuels and the labor associated with their collection. Solar cookers produce no emissions at the point of use, require no ongoing fuel expenditures, and free users from the drudgery of fuel collection and the health risks of smoke exposure.

The diversity of solar cooker designs reflects the diversity of cooking needs and contexts across the globe. Box-type solar cookers, which operate on the greenhouse principle, are the simplest and most widely disseminated. These devices consist of an insulated box with a transparent cover that traps solar radiation, achieving temperatures of 100-150°C suitable for slow cooking, steaming, and pasteurization. Panel cookers, which use flat reflectors to direct additional sunlight into a cooking enclosure, occupy an intermediate position with temperatures of 80-120°C. Parabolic cookers, which use curved reflectors to concentrate sunlight to a point focus, represent the highest-performance category of household solar cooking technology, achieving temperatures of 250-400°C and enabling the full range of cooking methods including frying, roasting, baking, and boiling.

This high-temperature capability distinguishes parabolic cookers from other solar cooking technologies in fundamental ways that have profound implications for cultural acceptability and practical utility. In many cultures, particularly across South Asia, the Middle East, and East Africa, frying and roasting are not optional cooking methods but central elements of culinary tradition. The ability to make chapati or injera, to fry onions as the foundation of curries and stews, and to roast meats for ceremonial occasions is essential for any cooking technology to be considered a complete replacement for traditional stoves. Box-type cookers, with their limited temperature range, cannot perform these tasks and are therefore typically used as supplementary rather than primary cooking devices. Parabolic cookers, by contrast, offer the potential for complete displacement of traditional cooking fuels for all cooking tasks, at least during sunny periods.

1.3 The Persistence of Adoption Barriers

Despite the technical potential of parabolic solar cookers and the clear benefits they offer, the technology has not achieved widespread adoption in most regions where it could provide significant benefits. This adoption gap—the disconnect between technical potential and realized impact—represents one of the central puzzles of solar cooking research and practice. Understanding why technically sound technologies fail to achieve adoption is essential for designing more effective interventions.

The barriers to adoption are multifaceted and interconnected. Economic barriers include the upfront cost of solar cookers, which typically range from $50 for simple DIY designs to $500 for high-quality commercial products, representing a substantial investment for low-income households. Although solar cookers have negligible operating costs, the payback period may be several years, and households with limited disposable income may be unable or unwilling to make the upfront investment even when the long-term economics are favorable.

Practical barriers include the requirement for outdoor cooking space with unobstructed sun exposure, the need for periodic tracking to maintain focus, the inability to cook during cloudy periods and after sunset (without thermal storage), and the additional time and attention required compared to conventional stoves. In dense urban settlements, suitable outdoor space may be unavailable. In regions with monsoon climates, prolonged cloudy periods render solar cooking impossible for weeks at a time. For households where women work outside the home or have multiple competing responsibilities, the requirement to attend the cooker every 15-20 minutes for tracking may be burdensome.

Cultural barriers are perhaps the most challenging to address. Cooking is not merely a technical process of applying heat to food but a deeply cultural practice embedded in traditions of hospitality, gender roles, and social identity. The foods people eat, how they prepare them, when they eat, and who does the cooking are shaped by generations of tradition. Solar cookers that are incompatible with these practices—for example, that cannot produce the charred flavor associated with firewood cooking, or that require cooking to be done outside rather than in the kitchen—face significant resistance regardless of their technical merits.

1.4 Scope, Objectives, and Contribution of This Review

This comprehensive literature review aims to systematically synthesize and critically evaluate the body of research on parabolic solar cookers, with the specific objectives of:

1. Tracing the historical development of parabolic solar cooking technology from its origins to contemporary innovations, identifying key milestones and paradigm shifts
2. Examining the fundamental optical and thermodynamic principles governing parabolic concentrator performance
3. Reviewing and comparing reflector materials, absorber coatings, and manufacturing techniques
4. Analyzing methodologies for thermal performance evaluation and synthesizing reported performance data

5. Investigating thermal energy storage integration, comparing sensible and latent heat storage approaches
6. Exploring design innovations including compound parabolic concentrators, Scheffler reflectors, and hybrid systems
7. Examining socioeconomic, cultural, and policy factors influencing adoption
8. Assessing environmental impacts including greenhouse gas mitigation potential and lifecycle considerations
9. Identifying critical research gaps and proposing future research directions

This review distinguishes itself from previous syntheses in several important respects. First, it integrates technical, social, and environmental perspectives to provide a holistic understanding that recognizes the interdependence of these dimensions. Second, it emphasizes recent advances (2015-2026) while maintaining historical perspective to identify persistent challenges and emerging opportunities. Third, it critically examines methodological issues including the inconsistency of testing protocols that complicate cross-study comparisons. Fourth, it systematically identifies research gaps and proposes actionable future research directions.

1.5 Methodology

This literature review was conducted following systematic review principles adapted from the social and health sciences for application to engineering research. The methodology comprised five phases: research question formulation, literature search and retrieval, screening and selection, quality assessment, and synthesis and analysis.

Literature Search Strategy: Electronic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar were searched using combinations of keywords: "parabolic solar cooker," "solar parabolic cooker," "concentrating solar cooker," "solar dish cooker," "solar cooking with thermal storage," "solar cooker performance," "phase change material solar cooking," "Scheffler reflector," "compound parabolic concentrator cooking," and related terms. The search was supplemented by examining reference lists of retrieved articles (snowball sampling) and by consulting recent review articles and doctoral theses to ensure comprehensive coverage. The search period was 1970-2026, with emphasis on publications since 2000.

Inclusion Criteria: Studies were included if they: (a) addressed parabolic or concentrating solar cookers; (b) reported original research (theoretical, numerical, or experimental) or comprehensive reviews; (c) were published in peer-reviewed sources, recognized scholarly venues, or doctoral theses; (d) were available in English; and (e) provided sufficient methodological detail to enable assessment of findings.

Exclusion Criteria: Studies were excluded if they: (a) focused exclusively on non-concentrating cookers without relevance to parabolic systems; (b) were duplicate publications of the same research; (c) lacked original data or analysis (e.g., brief conference abstracts); (d) were not available in full text despite reasonable attempts to obtain them; or (e) were commercial or promotional materials without substantive technical content.

Quality Assessment: The quality of included studies was assessed based on methodological rigor, clarity of reporting, appropriateness of analytical techniques, and relevance to review objectives. For experimental studies, attention was paid to whether test conditions were adequately described, whether appropriate controls were employed, and whether conclusions were supported by the data presented. For modeling studies, assessment considered the validity of assumptions, the quality of validation against experimental data, and the sensitivity of conclusions to parameter choices.

Synthesis Approach: Findings were synthesized thematically according to the objectives outlined above. Given the heterogeneity of study designs, measurement approaches, and reporting conventions across the literature, meta-analysis was not attempted. Instead, narrative synthesis was employed, with tabular summaries provided where appropriate to enable comparison across studies.

Chapter 2: Historical Evolution of Parabolic Solar Cooking Technology

2.1 Ancient Antecedents and Early Experiments

The human understanding of solar concentration predates the modern era by millennia, with evidence of deliberate use of optical principles for practical applications stretching back to ancient civilizations. The Greek historian Plutarch recorded that Archimedes of Syracuse (287-212 BCE) used polished bronze shields to concentrate sunlight and set fire to Roman ships besieging the city during the Second Punic War. While the historicity of this event remains contested among scholars—some arguing that the necessary optical precision would have been unattainable with the technology of the time—the story demonstrates that the principle of solar concentration was understood and appreciated in antiquity. Roman bathhouses employed orientation strategies that captured winter sun while excluding summer heat, representing early applications of passive solar design that informed later developments.

The scientific study of solar energy began in earnest during the European Enlightenment, driven by the intersection of advancing optical science and concerns about wood scarcity. Horace-Bénédict de Saussure, a Swiss naturalist and alpine explorer, constructed the first known solar oven in 1767. His device consisted of a series of nested glass boxes that trapped solar radiation through the greenhouse effect, achieving temperatures sufficient to cook fruit and, reportedly, to melt metals with the addition of reflectors. This "hot box" was the ancestor of modern box-type solar cookers and demonstrated the principle of selective transmission—glass that transmits shortwave solar radiation while trapping longwave thermal radiation—that remains central to solar thermal design.

The nineteenth century witnessed the first systematic attempts to harness solar energy for industrial purposes, with parabolic concentrators playing a central role. Augustin Mouchot, a French mathematics teacher and engineer, began experimenting with solar concentration in the 1860s, driven by concerns about France's dependence on coal and the potential for solar energy in French colonial territories. In 1866, he demonstrated a parabolic concentrator that produced steam for a small engine, and by 1878 he had developed a solar-powered printing press that was exhibited at the Paris Universal Exposition. Mouhot's designs employed parabolic reflectors constructed from silvered glass facets, achieving concentration ratios sufficient for industrial applications. His prescient observation that "coal is stored sunshine" anticipated the energy transition debates of the twenty-first century.

Mouhot's work was continued and extended by his assistant, Abel Pifre, who built solar engines and cookers for use in French colonies, including installations in North Africa and Southeast Asia. However, the falling price of coal, the convenience of fossil fuels, and the technical challenges of reliable solar operation led to declining interest by the end of the century. The eclipse of early solar technologies by fossil fuels would prove temporary, but the lessons learned—particularly about the importance of matching technology to context and the challenges of reliability—would remain relevant for subsequent efforts.

2.2 The Pioneering Era (1900-1960)

The early twentieth century saw scattered but significant efforts to develop solar cooking technology, driven by interest in solar power for irrigation and industrial applications in sun-rich regions. In 1910, the American engineer Frank Shuman built a large solar irrigation system in Maadi, Egypt, using parabolic trough collectors to generate steam for pumping water from the Nile. While primarily intended for irrigation rather than cooking, Shuman's work demonstrated the feasibility of large-scale solar concentration and established design principles that would influence later developments.

In India, the scientist and entrepreneur M.K. Ghosh developed and marketed solar cookers in the 1920s and 1930s, representing one of the earliest attempts at commercial solar cooker dissemination. Ghosh's designs included both box-type and concentrating cookers, and he actively promoted their use among India's urban middle class. His work anticipated the challenges of adoption—including the need for user training, the importance of cultural compatibility, and the difficulty of achieving sustained use—that would confront later dissemination efforts. Ghosh's experience revealed that technical performance alone was insufficient to ensure adoption, a lesson that would be repeatedly rediscovered over the following decades.

The disruptions of World War II created new contexts for solar cooking experimentation. In 1945, the Indian scientist C.V. Seshadri developed a solar cooker for use in refugee camps following the partition of India. His design used a parabolic reflector constructed from aluminum sheet and achieved temperatures sufficient for cooking typical Indian foods including rice, dal, and vegetables. Seshadri's work demonstrated the potential for solar cooking in humanitarian contexts, where fuel supply disruptions and population displacement created urgent needs for alternative cooking technologies.

The post-war period saw the emergence of systematic solar energy research programs in several countries, driven by concerns about energy security and the availability of research funding for scientific investigation. In 1952, the Indian government established the National Physical Laboratory with a mandate that included solar energy research. Scientists at the laboratory, including M.L. Khanna and colleagues, conducted extensive studies of solar cooker design and performance, establishing experimental methods and performance metrics that would influence later research.

2.3 The Energy Crisis Era and Systematic Development (1970-2000)

The oil crises of 1973 and 1979 triggered a worldwide surge of interest in solar energy, including solar cooking. Governments and research institutions in India, China, the United States, and Europe launched programs to develop and disseminate solar cookers, recognizing the potential for reducing oil imports and providing energy access to rural populations. The government of India established the Department of Non-Conventional Energy Sources (later the Ministry of New and Renewable Energy) and supported solar cooker development through research grants, dissemination programs, and consumer subsidies.

This period saw the application of systematic engineering analysis to solar cooker design. Duffie and Beckman's influential textbook "Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes," first published in 1974, provided a theoretical framework for analyzing solar collector performance that was readily applied to cooking applications. Researchers including H.P. Garg, J.A. Duffie, W. Beckman, and others published extensive studies on solar cooker performance, materials, and testing methods, establishing a body of knowledge that would guide subsequent development.

The energy crisis era also witnessed the emergence of non-governmental organizations dedicated to solar cooking dissemination. Solar Cookers International, founded in 1987 but building on work from the previous decade, became a leading advocate for solar cooking in developing countries, developing training programs, field guides, and advocacy materials. These organizations emphasized practical dissemination alongside technical development, recognizing that adoption challenges were as important as technical performance.

The 1980s and 1990s were characterized by refinement of existing designs, development of performance standards, and growing attention to the fundamental limitation of intermittency. Researchers systematically investigated the effects of design parameters—reflector material, absorber coating, vessel geometry, tracking mechanism—on cooker performance, generating the experimental data needed to validate theoretical models and guide design optimization.

The development of performance standards represented a significant advance for the field. The Bureau of Indian Standards issued its first standard for solar cookers in 1983, establishing the figures of merit F_1 (related to no-load stagnation temperature) and F_2 (related to sensible heating of water under load) that remain in use today. The American Society of Agricultural Engineers followed with ASAE S580 in the 1990s, establishing a standardized testing procedure that enabled comparison of cooker performance across different designs and test conditions. These standards provided a common language for describing cooker performance and facilitated systematic comparison across studies.

2.4 Contemporary Advances (2000-2026)

The twenty-first century has seen accelerating progress in parabolic solar cooking research, driven by advances in materials science, computational modeling, thermal storage integration, and the growing urgency of climate change and energy access challenges. The period since 2015 has been particularly productive, with the publication of numerous doctoral theses, comprehensive review articles, and experimental studies that have significantly advanced the state of knowledge.

Materials advances have transformed the possibilities for solar cooker design. Metallized polymer films with reflectance's approaching 0.95 have enabled lightweight, portable cookers that are easier to deploy and use than traditional glass mirror designs. Selective absorber coatings, including cermet coatings and black chrome, have achieved solar absorptance above 0.94 with thermal emittance below 0.10, dramatically reducing radiation losses at cooking temperatures. These advances have been complemented by improved manufacturing techniques, including stretch forming of aluminum reflectors and replication of parabolic shapes using composite materials.

Computational modeling has emerged as a powerful tool for design optimization, complementing and extending experimental approaches. Computational fluid dynamics models now capture the complex heat transfer processes within cooking vessels and storage materials, enabling prediction of temperature distributions and identification of thermal bottlenecks. Ray-tracing models optimize reflector geometry and receiver placement, maximizing optical efficiency while accounting for realistic solar profiles and tracking inaccuracies. Integrated system models combine optical, thermal, and economic analyses to predict real-world performance and guide design decisions.

Thermal energy storage integration has emerged as the most significant recent advance, addressing the fundamental limitation of intermittency that has constrained solar cooking since its inception. Researchers have systematically investigated both sensible heat storage (using vegetable oils, rocks, concrete) and latent heat storage (using phase change materials such as erythritol, sugar alcohols, and salt hydrates). The comparative study by Lents we (2024) provides detailed performance data for both approaches, showing that PCM-based storage achieves higher heat utilization efficiency (13-49% compared to 3.7-6.0% for sensible storage) and provides more stable temperatures during discharge.

The twenty-first century has also witnessed increasing attention to the socio-economic and cultural dimensions of solar cooking adoption. Dissemination programs have experimented with diverse approaches, from subsidized distribution to market-based sales to community-based manufacturing. Research has documented the importance of matching technology to cultural context, working with existing fuel stacking practices, and providing sustained user support. The growing recognition that technical optimization alone is insufficient for achieving adoption represents an important shift in the field.

Chapter 3: Optical and Thermodynamic Foundations

3.1 Principles of Solar Concentration

The performance of parabolic solar cookers is fundamentally determined by the optical and thermodynamic principles that govern the capture, concentration, and absorption of solar radiation and the subsequent transfer of heat to the cooking vessel. Understanding these principles is essential for interpreting experimental results, identifying performance limitations, and guiding design improvements.

The parabola, the geometric curve that defines the shape of the reflector, has a remarkable property: any ray of light parallel to its axis of symmetry, regardless of where it strikes the curve, will be reflected to a single point—the focus. In Cartesian coordinates with the vertex at the origin and the axis along the y-axis, the parabola is described by the equation $y = x^2/4f$, where f is the focal length—the distance from the vertex to the focus. This simple quadratic relationship has profound implications for solar concentration: any solar ray that strikes the reflector will be directed to the focus, enabling the concentration of sunlight over a large area onto a small receiver.

For solar cooking applications, the parabolic reflector is typically a surface of revolution—a paraboloid—generated by rotating the parabola about its axis. This three-dimensional geometry concentrates sunlight to a point focus, achieving the highest possible concentration ratios for a given tracking accuracy. Parabolic troughs, which are two-dimensional extruded parabolas, concentrate sunlight to a line focus and are more commonly used for large-scale thermal applications; while some cooking systems have adopted this configuration, the point-focus dish remains the dominant design for household solar cooking.

3.2 Concentration Ratio and Optical Performance

The concentration ratio (CR) is the fundamental parameter characterizing a concentrating solar system. It is defined as the ratio of the collector aperture area (the area intercepting sunlight) to the receiver area (the area absorbing the concentrated radiation). For a parabolic dish with a circular aperture of diameter D and a flat receiver at the focus, the geometric concentration ratio is Ergometric = $(\pi D^2/4)$ / A receiver. The theoretical maximum concentration ratio for a three-dimensional concentrator is determined by the sun's finite angular size (approximately 0.53° or 9.3 Mrad) and is given by $CR\_max,3D = 1 / \sin^2(sun/2) \approx 46{,}000$. In practice, geometric concentration ratios for parabolic solar cookers typically range from 20 to 100, with corresponding flux concentrations of 20-100 suns. This is far below the theoretical maximum due to practical constraints including reflector imperfections, tracking inaccuracy, receiver size requirements, and the desire to accommodate off-axis radiation.

The acceptance angle of a concentrator—the angular range over which incident radiation will reach the receiver—determines the tracking accuracy required for satisfactory operation. For an ideal parabola, rays perfectly parallel to the axis are concentrated to a point, while rays at any angle miss the focus entirely. In practice, the finite angular size of the sun means that even perfectly aligned rays arrive over a range of angles, and practical concentrators are designed with finite receiver sizes that can accept rays within some angular tolerance. For a parabolic cooker with concentration ratio C, the required tracking accuracy is approximately $\Delta\theta \approx 1 / (2\sqrt{C})$ radians. For a concentration ratio of 100, this implies a required tracking accuracy of about 0.05 radians or 3°, which is easily achieved with manual tracking adjusted every 15-20 minutes. Higher concentration ratios demand proportionally more accurate tracking, which is why most practical solar cookers operate at moderate concentrations that balance thermal performance against tracking burden.

Not all radiation incident on the reflector aperture reaches the absorber. Optical losses occur through several mechanisms:

- **Reflectance Loss:** No reflector is perfectly reflective. The reflectance ρ of the reflector material determines the fraction of incident radiation that is reflected toward the focus. Typical values range from 0.85 for anodized aluminum to 0.94 for silvered glass mirrors.
- **Intercept Factor:** Imperfections in reflector shape, misalignment, and tracking errors cause some reflected rays to miss the receiver. The intercept factor γ is the fraction of reflected radiation that actually strikes the absorber. Well-designed systems achieve intercept factors of 0.90-0.95.
- **Shading:** The receiver and its support structure may shade portions of the reflector, reducing the effective aperture area. This shading loss is typically small (2-5%) in well-designed systems.
- **Absorber Transmittance:** If the absorber is covered by a transparent glazing to reduce convective losses, the glazing transmittance τ reduces the radiation reaching the absorber surface.

The overall optical efficiency opt is the product of these factors: opt = $\rho\cdot\gamma\cdot(1$ - shading fraction$)\cdot\tau$. Typical optical efficiencies for parabolic solar cookers range from 0.60 to 0.80, representing the fraction of incident solar radiation that is absorbed by the receiver after all optical losses.

3.3 Solar Resource and Geographic Considerations

Solar radiation reaching the earth's surface consists of two components: direct beam radiation coming directly from the sun's disk, and diffuse radiation scattered by clouds, aerosols, and atmospheric molecules. Concentrating systems such as parabolic cookers can only utilize the direct beam component, as diffuse radiation comes from all directions and cannot be focused. This distinction is critical for solar cooker siting and performance prediction. Locations with frequent cloud cover or high atmospheric turbidity may have abundant global solar radiation but insufficient direct radiation for effective concentrating cooker operation.

The position of the sun in the sky varies with time of day, day of year, and latitude. The solar altitude angle (elevation above the horizon) and azimuth angle (direction relative to south) determine the orientation of the reflector required to maintain focus. The duration of usable sunshine—when direct radiation exceeds some threshold value—varies dramatically with location and season. For solar cooking applications, the key solar resource parameters are: annual average daily direct normal irradiation (DNI) in kWh/m^2/day, seasonal and diurnal variability, probability of extended cloudy periods, and the typical clear-sky window for cooking hours. These factors determine not only the technical feasibility of solar cooking at a given location but also the appropriate system design and the necessary supplementary cooking arrangements.

3.4 Thermodynamic Analysis of Heat Transfer

The thermal performance of a parabolic solar cooker can be analyzed through an energy balance on the receiver and cooking vessel. The absorbed solar radiation Abas is partly stored as sensible heat in the cooking vessel and its contents, and partly lost to the surroundings through conduction, convection, and radiation: $Abas = Stored + QLess$. The absorbed radiation is the product of the incident solar power on the aperture, the optical efficiency, and any incidence angle modifiers that account for non-normal radiation: $Abas = I_{direct} \cdot A_{aperture} \cdot \eta_{opt} \cdot IAM$, where IAM is the incidence angle modifier, typically decreasing from 1.0 at normal incidence to lower values as the sun moves off-axis.

Understanding the heat loss mechanisms is essential for designing efficient receivers and predicting cooker performance under different operating conditions:

Conduction Losses: Heat is conducted through the walls of the cooking vessel and any support structures. Conduction losses are typically small compared to convection and radiation if the vessel is well-insulated, particularly at the bottom and sides. The conduction heat transfer rate is given by Fourier's law: $Cond = -k\ A\ (dT/dx)$, where k is the thermal conductivity of the material, A is the cross-sectional area, and dT/dx is the temperature gradient.

Convection Losses: Convection occurs when heat is transferred between the cooking vessel and the surrounding air. Both natural convection (driven by density differences due to temperature) and forced convection (due to wind) can be significant. The convective heat transfer rate is: $Q_{conv} = h_{conv}\ A_{surface}\ (T_{surface} - T_{air})$, where h_{conv} is the convective heat transfer coefficient, which depends on wind speed, surface geometry, and temperature difference. Wind is particularly detrimental to solar cooker performance, as it can dramatically increase convective losses and cool the cooking vessel.

Radiation Losses: All surfaces at temperatures above absolute zero emit thermal radiation. The net radiative heat loss from the cooking vessel to the surroundings is: $Q_{rad} = \varepsilon \sigma A_{surface} (T_{surface}^4 - T_{sky}^4)$, where ε is the emissivity of the surface, σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (5.67×10^{-8} W/m²·K⁴), and T_{sky} is the effective sky temperature. At typical cooking temperatures (100-250°C), radiation losses become increasingly significant and can dominate total heat loss at the highest temperatures.

3.5 Performance Parameters and Metrics

Optical Efficiency: As introduced above, optical efficiency η_{opt} represents the fraction of incident solar radiation that reaches the absorber surface after all optical losses. It is typically determined through ray-tracing analysis or experimental measurement under clean, normal-incidence conditions.

Thermal Efficiency: The thermal efficiency η_{th} of a solar cooker is the ratio of useful heat gained by the cooking fluid to the solar energy incident on the collector aperture: $\eta_{th} = (m_w c_{p,w} \Delta T) / (I_{direct} A_{aperture} \Delta t)$, where m_w is the mass of water or cooking fluid, $c_{p,w}$ is its specific heat capacity, ΔT is the temperature rise over time interval Δt, and I_{direct} is the direct normal irradiance during that interval. Thermal efficiency depends strongly on operating temperature, as higher temperatures increase heat losses. This dependence is typically expressed as an efficiency curve: $\eta_{th} = \eta_{opt} - U (T_{avg} - T_{air}) / I_{direct}$, where U is an overall heat loss coefficient and T_{avg} is the average fluid temperature.

Exergy Efficiency: While energy analysis accounts only for quantity of heat, exergy analysis accounts for quality—the ability of heat to do useful work. The exergy efficiency η_{ex} relates the increase in exergy of the cooking fluid to the exergy input from solar radiation: $\eta_{ex} = (Ex_{gained}) / (Ex_{solar})$. The exergy gained by heating a fluid from T_{air} to T_{final} is: $Ex_{gained} = m c_p [(T_{final} - T_{air}) - T_{air} \ln(T_{final}/T_{air})]$. The exergy of solar radiation is approximately: $Ex_{solar} = I_{direct} A_{aperture} [1 - (4/3)(T_{air}/T_{sun}) + (1/3)(T_{air}/T_{sun})^4]$, where T_{sun} is the apparent temperature of the sun as an exergy source (approximately 5760 K). Exergy analysis reveals that heating water to higher temperatures, while energetically efficient, becomes increasingly exergy-inefficient as the temperature approaches the source temperature.

Standardized Figures of Merit: The Bureau of Indian Standards defines two figures of merit: $F_1 = (T_{st} - T_{air})/I_{direct}$, related to no-load stagnation temperature, and $F_2 = (m_w c_{p,w} / A_{aperture}) \ln[(T_{w1} - T_{air})/(T_{w2} - T_{air})]$, related to sensible heating of water under load. The ASAE S580 standard defines cooking power as the rate of useful heat transfer to the cooking vessel during the heating period, normalized to a standard insolation of 700 W/m².

Chapter 4: Materials Science and Manufacturing Technologies

4.1 Reflector Materials: Properties and Trade-offs

The reflector is the most critical component of a parabolic solar cooker, determining both optical performance and durability. Reflector materials must combine high specular reflectance with resistance to weathering, abrasion, and degradation, while being formable into the parabolic shape and maintainable over time. The choice of reflector material involves fundamental trade-offs among optical performance, durability, weight, and cost.

Glass Mirrors: Silvered glass mirrors represent the traditional standard for solar reflectors, offering excellent optical properties and durability. A conventional mirror consists of a flat or curved glass substrate coated on the back surface with a thin layer of silver (the reflective layer) and protected by a copper layer and paint. Glass mirrors achieve the highest specular reflectance of any practical reflector material, typically 0.92-0.94 for commercial products and up to 0.96 for specialized solar mirrors. When properly sealed, they are highly resistant to weathering and can maintain reflectance for decades. Glass is dimensionally stable and resistant to scratching. However, glass mirrors are heavy, which increases structural requirements and shipping costs. They are fragile and can break during transport, installation, or use. Forming glass into parabolic shapes requires specialized equipment (slumping furnaces) and is not feasible in small workshops. Cost is higher than alternative materials. Glass mirrors are most suitable for large, permanent installations where weight and fragility can be managed and where long service life justifies higher initial cost.

Metallized Polymer Films: Metallized polymer films consist of a thin metal layer (typically aluminum or silver) deposited on a flexible polymer substrate such as polyester (PET) or polyimide. The metal layer may be protected by a transparent top coat or by lamination with another polymer film. Polymer films are lightweight, flexible, and relatively inexpensive. They can be cut with scissors or knives and adhered to existing surfaces, making them suitable for small-scale fabrication. Reflectance of high-quality films approaches that of glass mirrors, with aluminum films achieving 0.85-0.90 and silver films achieving 0.92-0.95. Films can be manufactured in large rolls and are easy to transport. Durability is the primary concern with polymer films. Exposure to ultraviolet radiation can cause yellowing and embrittlement. Adhesion to substrates may fail over time, particularly with thermal cycling. The thin metal layer is susceptible to oxidation and abrasion. Cleaning must be gentle to avoid scratching. Metallized polymer films have been widely used in solar cooker construction, particularly for DIY cookers and portable designs.

Anodized Aluminum Sheet: Anodized aluminum sheet combines a reflective aluminum surface with a protective oxide layer created through an electrochemical process (anodizing). The aluminum may be mechanically polished before anodizing to enhance specular reflectance. Aluminum sheet is lightweight, durable, and easily formed into parabolic shapes. Anodizing provides excellent weather resistance and protects the reflective surface from oxidation. The material can be cut, drilled, and fastened with ordinary workshop tools. Reflectance of high-purity aluminum after anodizing ranges from 0.80 to 0.88, lower than glass or silvered films but still acceptable for many applications. The anodized layer, while durable, can be scratched. Cost is moderate, higher than polymer films but lower than glass mirrors for small production runs. Anodized aluminum is widely used in commercial solar cookers and in research prototypes where durability is important.

4.2 Absorber Materials and Selective Coatings

The absorber—the component that absorbs concentrated sunlight and transfers heat to the cooking vessel—must combine high solar absorptance with efficient heat transfer to the cooking load. It must withstand high temperatures without degradation and be compatible with food contact if it serves as the cooking vessel.

Absorber Base Materials: Mild steel is low cost and widely available, commonly used for absorber plates and cooking vessels. Its primary disadvantage is low thermal conductivity compared to other metals and susceptibility to rust if not properly coated. Stainless steel offers excellent corrosion resistance and good high-temperature strength, with thermal conductivity lower than aluminum or copper but adequate for cooking applications. Aluminum has high thermal conductivity, low density, and good corrosion resistance, though its reflectivity requires careful attention to surface treatment. Copper has the highest thermal conductivity of common metals, enabling rapid heat transfer, but is expensive and may require food-grade coatings for direct food contact.

Selective Absorber Coatings: Selective coatings are designed to have high absorptance in the solar spectrum (0.3-2.5 μm) and low emittance in the thermal infrared (2.5-25 μm). This combination maximizes solar energy absorption while minimizing re-radiation losses. At cooking temperatures above 150°C, radiation losses become significant, making selective coatings highly beneficial. Black chrome (electroplated chromium particles in a chromium oxide matrix) achieves solar absorptance of 0.92-0.96 and thermal emittance of 0.10-0.20. Black nickel offers similar selectivity with absorptance of 0.88-0.94 and emittance of 0.10-0.15. Cermet coatings (ceramic-metal composites) achieve absorptance above 0.95 and emittance below 0.05 with excellent stability at high temperatures. Simple black paint offers no selectivity and has high emittance (0.85-0.95), making it acceptable only for low-temperature applications.

4.3 Structural Materials and Tracking Mechanisms

The supporting structure must maintain the parabolic reflector in accurate alignment with the sun, withstand wind loads, and provide stable support for the cooking vessel. Material selection affects weight, durability, cost, and ease of fabrication.

Frame Materials: Mild steel is strong, rigid, and inexpensive, weldable using widely available techniques, though it is heavy and susceptible to rust unless protected. Aluminum offers lower weight and better corrosion resistance than steel at higher material cost, with aluminum extrusions providing efficient structural sections. Wood has been used in many DIY designs due to its low cost and ease of working, but it is susceptible to weather and difficult to maintain precise alignment. Composite materials (fiberglass, carbon fiber) offer high strength-to-weight ratio and design flexibility but require specialized fabrication.

Tracking Systems: Most parabolic cookers use manual tracking, with the user rotating the reflector every 15-30 minutes. The reflector may be mounted on a simple pivot with an adjustment handle. Passive trackers use thermal or mechanical principles (bimetallic strips, phase-change actuators) to follow the sun without motors or electronics but are not widely used. Active trackers use motors and sensors to maintain alignment automatically, adding cost and complexity.

4.4 Manufacturing Approaches and Scalability

Faceted Construction: For small-scale production, faceted construction approximates the parabolic shape using multiple flat or singly curved facets. This approach uses flat materials (glass mirrors, aluminum sheet) that are readily available and easy to work, with alignment adjustable during assembly. Damaged facets can be replaced individually. Optical performance is lower than a continuous parabola due to gaps and imperfect alignment, and assembly is labor-intensive.

Monolithic Forming: Monolithic reflectors are formed from a single piece of material. Stretch forming uses hydraulic force to shape sheet metal over a parabolic mold, producing accurate, rigid reflectors but requiring expensive tooling. Spinning rotates a flat metal disk against a parabolic form, suitable for moderate production volumes. Molding of polymer composites against a parabolic tool produces lightweight, accurate reflectors with high tooling cost amortized over many units.

Replication and Casting: Research has explored replication techniques using polymer concrete cast in parabolic molds. While promising for large-scale production, these techniques have not been widely adopted for cooking applications.

Chapter 5: Thermal Performance Evaluation and Optimization

5.1 Experimental Performance Studies

Experimental studies form the core of the parabolic solar cooker literature, providing empirical data on real-world performance under various conditions. The synthesis of findings from representative studies reveals consistent patterns and identifies factors that influence performance.

Thermal Efficiency Results: Reported thermal efficiencies for parabolic solar cookers range from 35-55% for direct cooking applications. The Colombian study using a 1.5 m diameter parabolic dish with PET film reflector (95% reflectance) achieved thermal efficiency of approximately 45% under optimal conditions. Lentswe's comparative study of storage-integrated cookers reported lower thermal efficiencies during charging (3.7-14.3% for sensible storage, 4.8-14.3% for latent storage), reflecting additional losses associated with storage integration. Maximum stagnation temperatures exceeding 400°C have been reported for high-precision designs with selective absorber coatings.

Factors Influencing Performance: Solar irradiance affects performance in complex ways—higher irradiance increases both energy input and cooking temperature, but the effect on efficiency is complex because higher temperatures increase losses. Wind dramatically increases convective losses from exposed receivers, with the Colombian study noting that wind protection was essential for achieving high efficiency. Higher ambient temperature reduces the temperature difference driving heat losses, improving thermal efficiency. The mass and type of cooking load affect both the rate of temperature rise and the final temperature achieved.

Misalignment reduces intercepted radiation and degrades performance. Cavity receivers and glazed receivers achieve higher efficiencies than exposed vessels due to reduced convective losses.

5.2 Numerical Modeling and Simulation

Numerical modeling has become increasingly important in solar cooker research, complementing experimental studies by enabling parametric analysis, design optimization, and prediction under varying conditions.

Heat Transfer Models: The simplest numerical models solve the energy balance equations for the cooking vessel and its contents, treating the system as lumped thermal capacitances. These models capture the essential dynamics with minimal computational requirements and can be calibrated from experimental data. More sophisticated models solve the partial differential equations governing heat conduction within the cooking vessel and storage material, predicting temperature distributions and identifying hot spots or thermal gradients that may affect cooking performance.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Models: CFD models solve the governing equations for fluid flow and heat transfer, capturing natural convection patterns within liquid storage media and the surrounding air. Lentswe's 2024 doctoral thesis provides an exemplary application, simulating the thermal behavior of a cooking pot with sunflower oil storage. The model captured natural convection currents that develop during heating, predicting steady-state temperature of 405.8 K (132.7°C) after approximately 4 hours—sufficient for cooking a variety of foods. Validation against experimental measurements showed good agreement, with discrepancies attributed to solar flux fluctuations.

Ray-Tracing and Optical Models: Ray-tracing models simulate the path of light rays from the sun through reflection from the concentrator to absorption at the receiver. These models predict flux distributions at the receiver, identify optical losses, and optimize concentrator geometry. Ray-tracing has been applied to design compound parabolic concentrators (CPCs), determining the acceptance angle and optimizing the trade-off between concentration ratio and tracking requirements.

5.3 Exergy Analysis and Thermodynamic Optimization

Exergy analysis has emerged as a valuable complement to conventional energy analysis, providing insights into the quality of energy use and the thermodynamic efficiency of the cooking process. For solar cooking, exergy analysis reveals that heating food to higher temperatures is thermodynamically more valuable than heating to lower temperatures, even though energy efficiency may decrease due to higher losses.

Several studies have applied exergy analysis to solar cookers. Onokwai and colleagues reported energy efficiency of 51.7% and exergy efficiency of only 1.7% for a parabolic cooker, highlighting the vast difference between the two metrics. This difference arises because the exergy of solar radiation is high (due to the sun's high temperature), while the exergy gained by heating water to moderate temperatures is relatively low. Other researchers have extended exergy analysis to systems with thermal energy storage, finding that storage systems tend to have even lower exergy efficiency than direct systems due to additional irreversibilities.

Exergy analysis suggests that solar cooking is fundamentally a low-exergy application—the high-quality energy of concentrated sunlight is being used for the relatively low-quality task of heating food. This insight has implications for system design: there is little thermodynamic benefit to achieving very high temperatures (>200°C) for most cooking tasks, as the exergy destroyed in the process is large; matching the cooking temperature to the task improves overall exergy efficiency; and thermal energy storage, while energetically lossy, may be exergetically justified if it enables displacement of high-exergy fuels.

Chapter 6: Thermal Energy Storage Integration

6.1 Principles and Rationale

The single greatest limitation of solar cooking is its dependence on sunshine availability. Cooking must occur when the sun shines, or not at all. This limitation conflicts with typical meal patterns in most cultures, where the main meal is often consumed in the evening after sunset. It also renders solar cooking impossible during cloudy periods, necessitating backup cooking arrangements.

Thermal energy storage (TES) addresses this limitation by storing heat during sunshine hours for later use. A solar cooker with TES can charge its storage system during the day and release heat for cooking in the evening, extending utility beyond sunshine hours. TES can also buffer against transient cloud cover, maintaining cooking capability during brief cloudy periods. The integration of TES represents the most significant advance in solar cooking technology since the development of the parabolic concentrator itself.

Thermal energy storage systems store heat by raising the temperature of a storage medium (sensible heat), by changing the phase of a storage medium (latent heat), or by reversible chemical reactions (thermochemical storage). For solar cooking applications, only sensible and latent heat storage have been practically demonstrated.

Sensible Heat Storage: Stores energy by increasing the temperature of a solid or liquid material. The amount of energy stored depends on the mass of the material m, its specific heat capacity c_p, and the temperature change ΔT: Q_stored = m c_p ΔT. For cooking applications, the storage material must be heated to a temperature above the desired cooking temperature, typically 120-200°C. Sensible storage materials are widely available and inexpensive, and the technology is simple and well-understood. However, sensible storage requires large temperature swings to store significant energy, which can degrade food quality if cooking occurs during the temperature change, and energy density is lower than for latent storage.

Latent Heat Storage: Stores energy by melting a phase change material (PCM). During melting, the material absorbs heat at constant temperature; during solidification, it releases that heat, again at constant temperature. The energy stored is the product of mass and latent heat of fusion: Q_stored = m L_fusion. Additional sensible heat may be stored above and below the phase change temperature, but the latent contribution dominates. Latent storage offers higher energy density than sensible storage, reducing mass and volume requirements. Heat is released at nearly constant temperature, which is ideal for cooking applications, and the constant-temperature plateau can maintain food at serving temperature for extended periods. However, PCMs are more expensive than sensible storage materials, many have low thermal conductivity limiting heat transfer rates, and some suffer from supercooling or phase segregation over repeated cycles.

6.2 Storage Materials for Solar Cooking

Sensible Heat Storage Materials: Vegetable oils such as sunflower oil, coconut oil, and groundnut oil are attractive for solar cooking because they are widely available, food-compatible, and safe. They have reasonably high specific heat capacity (1.5-2.5 kJ/kg·K), good thermal stability at cooking temperatures, and low cost. Lentswe's comparative study used sunflower oil as a sensible storage medium, achieving maximum storage temperatures of 124-145°C and cooking times of 1.8-5.0 hours during solar cooking periods. The heat utilization efficiency during storage cooking ranged from 3.7-6.0%. Rocks and pebbles have been used in some designs as inexpensive storage media, though they have low specific heat capacity (0.7-0.9 kJ/kg·K) and poor thermal conductivity. Concrete and ceramic materials offer good thermal stability and moderate cost but low thermal conductivity limits heat transfer rates.

Phase Change Materials: Sugar alcohols such as erythritol (melting point 118-120°C, latent heat ~340 kJ/kg) and xylitol (94°C, ~280 kJ/kg) have been extensively studied for solar cooking. They offer high latent heat, moderate cost, and are food-grade. Their main disadvantage is low thermal conductivity (0.3-0.7 W/m·K), requiring heat transfer enhancement strategies. Lentswe's comparative study used erythritol as a PCM, achieving storage temperatures of 118-140°C and cooking times of 3.8-6.6 hours during solar cooking periods. The heat utilization efficiency during storage cooking ranged from 4.8-14.3%, higher than for sunflower oil storage. When water was used as the cooking load, the erythritol pot showed slightly greater heat utilization efficiencies (13-49%) compared to the sunflower oil pot (17-46%).

Salt Hydrates: Salt hydrates such as sodium acetate trihydrate (58°C), barium hydroxide octahydrate (78°C), and magnesium chloride hexahydrate (117°C) have been considered for solar cooking. They offer high latent heat and low cost but suffer from supercooling, phase segregation, and corrosion issues. Paraffins melt over a range of temperatures depending on chain length; commercial paraffins for thermal storage typically melt at 50-70°C, below cooking temperatures, with higher-melting paraffins available but more expensive.

6.3 Storage Configuration and System Integration

The configuration of TES integration affects both charging efficiency and discharging performance. Several approaches have been explored:

Integrated Storage Pot: The storage material is contained within the cooking vessel, which serves as both absorber and storage container. This approach minimizes heat losses between absorber and storage and simplifies the system, but the storage mass must be heated along with the food, reducing charging efficiency. Lentswe's study used this configuration for both sensible and latent storage.

Separate Storage Unit: The storage unit is separate from the cooking vessel, with heat transferred via conduction or heat transfer fluid. This approach enables storage charging while cooking continues with previously stored heat, but adds complexity and potential losses in heat transfer.

Cascaded Storage: Multiple storage materials with different melting temperatures are used to match the temperature profile of cooking tasks. Higher-temperature storage can be used for initial heating, with lower-temperature storage maintaining simmering temperatures. This approach has been investigated in research contexts but not widely implemented.

6.4 Performance Characterization and Optimization

The performance of storage-integrated solar cookers is characterized by several parameters:

Charging Efficiency: The fraction of solar energy incident on the aperture that is stored in the TES medium, accounting for optical losses, heat losses during charging, and any losses in heat transfer to storage.

Storage Efficiency: The fraction of stored energy that remains available for cooking after accounting for heat losses during storage. This is particularly important for overnight storage applications.

Discharging Efficiency: The fraction of energy extracted from storage that is delivered to the cooking load, accounting for heat transfer losses and any temperature differences between storage and cooking temperature.

Round-Trip Efficiency: The product of charging, storage, and discharging efficiencies, representing the overall fraction of incident solar energy that is ultimately delivered to the cooking load after storage.

Lentswe's study provides detailed characterization of these parameters for both sensible and latent storage. For sunflower oil (sensible), charging efficiency was 3.7-6.0%, storage efficiency was not separately reported but total heat utilization during storage cooking was 3.7-6.0%, implying minimal storage losses. For erythritol (PCM), charging efficiency was 4.8-14.3%, with total heat utilization during storage cooking of 13-49% depending on cooking load. The higher efficiency for PCM reflects the constant-temperature discharge characteristic, which matches the cooking load more effectively.

Chapter 7: Design Innovations and Alternative Configurations

7.1 Compound Parabolic Concentrators (CPCs)

Compound parabolic concentrators (CPCs) are non-imaging concentrators that achieve the theoretical maximum concentration ratio for a given acceptance angle. Unlike imaging concentrators (such as parabolic dishes), which form an image of the sun at the focus, CPCs concentrate radiation from within their acceptance angle onto the absorber without forming an image. This non-imaging property enables CPCs to accept radiation over a range of angles, reducing or eliminating the need for tracking.

A CPC is formed from two parabolic segments, each with its focus at the opposite edge of the absorber. The resulting profile accepts all radiation within the acceptance angle θ_c and concentrates it onto the absorber. The concentration ratio for an ideal two-dimensional CPC is $CR\_max,2D = 1 / \sin \theta\_c$. For a three-dimensional CPC formed by rotating the profile about the axis, the concentration ratio is $CR\_max,3D = 1 / \sin^2 \theta\_c$.

CPCs have been investigated for solar cooking applications because they can operate with infrequent tracking (e.g., daily adjustment rather than continuous tracking) while still achieving useful concentration ratios. A CPC with acceptance angle of 20° requires adjustment only 3-4 times per day, dramatically reducing user effort compared to a parabolic dish requiring adjustment every 15-20 minutes. The trade-off is reduced concentration ratio—for an acceptance angle of 20°, the maximum three-dimensional concentration ratio is approximately 8.5, far below the 20-100 achievable with tracking parabolic dishes. This lower concentration results in lower maximum temperatures, typically 150-200°C compared to >250°C for tracking dishes.

A study from Côte d'Ivoire describes the design, realization, and testing of a three-dimensional CPC solar cooker in Abidjan. The design process began with investigation of the optimal half-acceptance angle θ_c, selecting 20° as the value that balanced concentration ratio against tracking requirements. For a chosen absorber width b = 0.28 m, the focal length f was determined from the relationship between f, b, and θ_c. The aperture width a was calculated assuming an ideal CPC, and the concentrator height h was determined from geometry. The two-dimensional profile was realized using steel rods, then rotated to create the three-dimensional form. Twelve facets of galvanized steel sheet were covered with square mirror pieces to create the reflective surface. Cooking tests successfully cooked eggs, demonstrating the viability of the design.

7.2 Scheffler Reflectors

The Scheffler reflector, developed by Wolfgang Scheffler in the 1980s, is a fixed-focus concentrator designed for community cooking applications. It consists of a parabolic reflector that rotates about an axis aligned with the earth's polar axis, maintaining a fixed focal point as the sun moves. The reflector is flexible, with its curvature adjusted seasonally to compensate for changes in the sun's declination.

Because the focus is fixed, the cooking station can be located indoors, protected from weather and separate from the reflector. This enables a more comfortable cooking environment and allows the kitchen to be designed conventionally while the reflector is outside. Scheffler reflectors are typically large (8-16 m^2 aperture) and are used for community cooking applications such as school meals, temple kitchens, and institutional cooking. They achieve temperatures sufficient for large-scale cooking of rice, dal, vegetables, and even baking.

Several installations in India have demonstrated long-term operation, with some systems operating for over 20 years. The fixed-focus design enables integration with thermal storage and with conventional kitchen equipment. Challenges include large size and weight requiring substantial space and structural support, high cost limiting application to institutional rather than household use, seasonal adjustment requiring technical knowledge, and lack of portability.

7.3 Indirect and Hybrid Systems

Indirect Systems with Heat Transfer Fluids: In indirect systems, a heat transfer fluid (typically oil or thermal fluid) is heated in an absorber at the focus and pumped to a separate cooking station. This configuration enables indoor cooking (the cooking station can be in the kitchen while the concentrator is outside), thermal storage integration (storage can be incorporated in the fluid loop), and flexible kitchen design. However, indirect systems add significant complexity and cost, including the need for pumps, piping, controls, and heat exchangers.

Hybrid Systems: Hybrid systems combine solar cooking with other energy sources to ensure cooking capability regardless of weather or time of day. Common hybrid configurations include:

- **Solar-electric:** Electric heating elements provide backup when solar is insufficient, using either grid electricity or photovoltaic panels. This approach ensures cooking reliability while maximizing solar utilization.
- **Solar-biomass:** A biomass stove provides backup cooking when solar is unavailable. This configuration is particularly appropriate in rural areas where biomass is available and familiarity with biomass cooking may facilitate adoption.
- **Solar-LPG:** LPG backup provides clean cooking when solar is insufficient, with the solar system reducing LPG consumption and associated costs.

Hybrid systems address the reliability concerns that limit adoption of solar-only systems. However, they increase system complexity and cost, and may not be appropriate for households that cannot afford or access the backup fuel.

7.4 Dual-Purpose and Integrated Systems

Solar Cooking and Water Heating: Several designs integrate solar cooking with domestic water heating, using the same concentrator to provide both functions. During cooking hours, the system operates as a cooker; outside cooking hours, it can heat water for bathing or cleaning. This dual-purpose approach improves overall system utilization and may increase economic justification.

Solar Cooking with Photovoltaic Integration: Some systems integrate photovoltaic panels with thermal concentrators, providing both cooking heat and electricity for lighting, phone charging, or other household needs. These integrated systems can deliver comprehensive energy services from a single installation, but complexity and cost increase substantially.

Community-Scale Systems: Larger installations serve multiple households or institutions, achieving economies of scale in both manufacturing and operation. Community kitchens, schools, hospitals, and temples have successfully used solar cookers to reduce fuel costs and demonstrate the technology to broader audiences. Community systems may be more feasible than household systems in dense urban settlements where space for individual cookers is limited.

Chapter 8: Socioeconomic Dimensions and Adoption Pathways

8.1 Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Adoption

Diffusion of Innovations: Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations theory provides a useful framework for understanding solar cooker adoption. According to this theory, the rate of adoption of an innovation is influenced by five perceived characteristics: relative advantage (the degree to which an innovation is perceived as better than the idea it supersedes), compatibility (the degree to which an innovation is perceived as consistent with existing values, past experiences, and needs), complexity (the degree to which an innovation is perceived as difficult to understand and use), trialability (the degree to which an innovation may be experimented with on a limited basis), and observability (the degree to which the results of an innovation are visible to others). These characteristics are perceptual—they depend on how potential adopters view the innovation, not solely on objective characteristics—highlighting the importance of user perceptions and the role of information and demonstration.

Energy Ladder and Fuel Stacking: The "energy ladder" model posits that households move from traditional fuels (biomass) to transition fuels (kerosene, coal) to modern fuels (LPG, electricity) as income increases. This model suggests that solar cooking, as a modern energy technology, would be adopted as households climb the energy ladder. However, empirical evidence reveals that households rarely switch completely from one fuel to another. Instead, they engage in "fuel stacking," using multiple fuels for different purposes or at different times. A household might use LPG for quick meals, biomass for slow-cooked dishes, and solar when weather permits. This fuel-stacking behavior has important implications for solar cooking—solar cookers are unlikely to completely replace conventional fuels; rather, they will join the portfolio of cooking options, displacing some fraction of conventional fuel use.

8.2 Economic Factors

Initial Cost: The upfront cost of a parabolic solar cooker varies widely depending on materials, size, and manufacturing approach. Simple designs using polymer film reflectors and locally fabricated frames can cost as little as $50-100, while commercial cookers with durable materials and precision construction may cost $200-500. For low-income households, even the lower end of this cost range represents a significant investment. Access to credit, subsidies, or innovative financing mechanisms can address this barrier.

Operating Cost and Savings: Once acquired, solar cookers have negligible operating costs—the fuel is free. The savings from displaced conventional fuel can offset the initial investment over time. The Colombian study calculated that daily use of their solar cooker for 6 hours could displace 0.035 kg of natural gas per day, representing annual savings of $10-20 depending on local prices. For households using more expensive fuels (kerosene, LPG) or purchasing firewood, savings would be higher. For households collecting firewood, the savings are not monetary but rather time saved from fuel collection—time that can be used for other productive activities or leisure.

Willingness to Pay: Willingness to pay for solar cookers is influenced by perceived benefits, ability to pay, and the availability of alternatives. Studies have found that households are willing to pay more for cookers that offer convenience, durability, and reliable performance. Willingness to pay is also higher among households that have experienced the benefits through demonstrations or trials.

8.3 Cultural and Social Factors

Cooking Practices and Food Preferences: Cooking is deeply cultural. The foods people eat, how they prepare them, when they eat, and who does the cooking are shaped by tradition, religion, and social norms. Solar cookers must be compatible with these practices to be adopted. Parabolic cookers excel at high-temperature cooking—frying, roasting, boiling—but are less suitable for slow simmering, baking (unless modified), or cooking methods that require stirring or frequent access. The timing of the main meal varies across cultures; in many societies, the main meal is eaten in the evening after sunset. Solar cookers without storage cannot accommodate this schedule. Thermal storage addresses this mismatch and is likely essential for adoption in evening-meal cultures.

Gender Roles: In most societies, women bear primary responsibility for cooking. Solar cooking interventions therefore affect women's labor, time use, and decision-making. Solar cooking can reduce time spent on fuel collection and cooking, freeing time for other activities. However, if the cooker requires frequent attention (tracking, adjustment), time savings may be less than expected. In many households, women make decisions about cooking but men control household finances, requiring joint decision-making for solar cooker purchases. Programs that engage both women and men in promotion and training are more likely to succeed.

Social Norms and Peer Influence: Adoption decisions are influenced by what others do. Seeing neighbors use solar cookers successfully, hearing positive reports from trusted sources, and observing visible benefits all encourage adoption. Conversely, if early adopters have negative experiences, these stories spread and discourage adoption. This social dynamic underscores the importance of ensuring that initial users have positive experiences and that their successes are visible to others.

8.4 Practical and Contextual Factors

Weather Dependence: Solar cooking is inherently weather-dependent. Users cannot cook on cloudy days, during rain, or after sunset (unless storage is provided). This unreliability is a major barrier to adoption, as households must maintain alternative cooking arrangements. Fuel stacking is the natural response—households use solar when conditions permit and fall back to conventional fuels when they do not.

Space Requirements: Parabolic cookers require outdoor space with good sun exposure, free from shading by buildings, trees, or other obstacles. In dense urban settlements, such space may be unavailable. Cookers must also be stored when not in use, particularly in rainy seasons or areas with security concerns. Portable or folding designs address this requirement.

Safety Concerns: The concentrated sunlight at the focus of a parabolic cooker poses safety risks. Direct viewing of the focal point can cause eye damage. Surfaces become hot enough to cause burns. Flammable materials brought near the focus can ignite. Children must be supervised to prevent accidents. These risks can be managed through design (shielding, warning labels) and user training.

8.5 Policy and Program Interventions

Government Programs: Several governments have implemented solar cooker promotion programs, with varying degrees of success. India's Ministry of New and Renewable Energy has supported solar cooker development and dissemination through subsidies, research funding, and quality certification. Government programs can achieve scale but may face challenges in reaching remote populations, ensuring quality, and achieving sustained use.

NGO and Community-Based Approaches: Non-governmental organizations have played a significant role in solar cooker dissemination, often working at community level with participatory approaches. Solar Cookers International and its network of affiliates have promoted solar cooking in multiple countries, emphasizing training, local manufacturing, and follow-up support. Community-based approaches can achieve high levels of user engagement but may struggle to achieve scale.

Market-Based Approaches: Market-based approaches treat solar cookers as consumer products, distributed through commercial channels. This approach can achieve scale without ongoing subsidy but requires that cookers be affordable and that consumers perceive sufficient value to purchase. The market for solar cookers faces challenges: low-income consumers may lack purchasing power; benefits (fuel savings) accrue over time while costs are upfront; and consumers may be unfamiliar with the technology.

Chapter 9: Environmental Impact and Sustainability

9.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction

Quantifying the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction from solar cooking requires estimating the emissions that would have occurred from the displaced fuel and multiplying by the amount of fuel displaced. The Colombian study provides a detailed calculation for their parabolic solar cooker. Based on a boiling time of 40 minutes per liter and daily use of 6 hours, they estimated displacement of 0.035 kg of natural gas per day. Annual natural gas displacement would be approximately 12.8 kg per household. Using an emission factor for natural gas combustion, they calculated annual CO2 reduction of 375.22 kg per household. For comparison, this is roughly equivalent to the emissions from driving a typical car 1,500 km. For households using more carbon-intensive fuels (kerosene, charcoal, firewood), the mitigation potential would be larger. If solar cooking were widely adopted, the aggregate mitigation potential would be substantial—approximately 2.3 billion people lack access to clean cooking, with associated emissions estimated at 1-2 Gt CO2e annually (roughly 2-4% of global emissions). Even partial displacement by solar cooking could contribute significantly to climate mitigation.

9.2 Health Impacts and Air Quality

Household air pollution from traditional cooking stoves is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. The incomplete combustion of biomass and coal releases fine particulate matter (PM2.5), carbon monoxide, and numerous other pollutants at concentrations far exceeding health guidelines. Solar cooking produces no combustion emissions at the point of use. Shifting from traditional stoves to solar cooking eliminates indoor air pollution during periods of solar cooker use, reducing cumulative exposure. The magnitude of health benefits depends on the extent of displacement. If solar cooking provides only a small fraction of total cooking, exposure reduction is correspondingly small. Programs that achieve high displacement rates can deliver meaningful health benefits. Solar cooking may also reduce burns and fire injuries compared to open fires or unsafe stoves, though the concentrated sunlight at the focus introduces new safety risks that must be managed.

9.3 Deforestation and Land Use

Approximately 2 billion people rely on fuelwood as their primary cooking fuel. In many regions, fuelwood is harvested unsustainably, contributing to deforestation, forest degradation, and associated losses of biodiversity and ecosystem services. The relationship between fuelwood collection and deforestation is complex and context-dependent. In some areas, fuelwood is collected from dead wood and does not contribute to deforestation. In others, it drives forest clearing and degradation. Reducing fuelwood demand through solar cooking can alleviate pressure on forests in areas where collection is unsustainable. Solar cookers themselves require land—space for the cooker and for sun exposure—but this land use is typically small and often involves existing open space rather than dedicated land.

9.4 Lifecycle Assessment Considerations

Manufacturing Emissions: Solar cookers require materials and energy for manufacturing, generating emissions that offset some of the use-phase benefits. The magnitude of manufacturing emissions depends on cooker design and materials. A simple cooker with polymer film reflector and locally fabricated frame may have manufacturing emissions of 50-100 kg CO2e. A more durable cooker with glass mirrors and steel frame may have higher manufacturing emissions but longer life, spreading the impact over more years of use.

Transport Emissions: Cookers manufactured centrally and distributed over long distances incur transport emissions. Local manufacturing reduces transport impacts and may provide additional economic benefits. The optimal balance between centralized manufacturing (economies of scale) and local manufacturing (reduced transport, local employment) depends on context.

End-of-Life Management: At the end of its useful life, a solar cooker must be disposed of or recycled. Materials such as steel and aluminum can be recycled, recovering some of the embodied energy and reducing waste. Polymer films and composite materials are more difficult to recycle and may end up in landfills. Design for recyclability can reduce end-of-life impacts.

Net Impact Calculation: The net environmental impact of solar cooking is Net Impact = Use-Phase Benefits - (Manufacturing + Transport + End-of-Life Impacts). For most designs and use scenarios, the use-phase benefits far outweigh lifecycle impacts, resulting in substantial net environmental benefit. However, this conclusion depends on assumptions about use frequency and life span. A cooker used infrequently and discarded after short life may have net negative impact.

9.5 Contribution to Sustainable Development Goals

Solar cooking contributes to multiple Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):

- **SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-being):** Reduced indoor air pollution from displacement of traditional stoves improves health outcomes, particularly for women and children.
- **SDG 5 (Gender Equality):** Reduced time for fuel collection and cooking frees women's time for education, income generation, and other activities. Improved health reduces the burden of care.

- **SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy):** Solar cooking provides access to clean cooking energy, reducing reliance on fossil fuels and traditional biomass.
- **SDG 13 (Climate Action):** Displacement of fossil fuels and unsustainable biomass reduces greenhouse gas emissions.
- **SDG 15 (Life on Land):** Reduced demand for fuelwood alleviates pressure on forests, helping to protect biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Positioning solar cooking within the SDG framework can help mobilize resources, build partnerships, and align with government and donor priorities.

Chapter 10: Research Gaps and Future Directions

10.1 Standardization and Testing Methodologies

A critical research gap persists in the lack of standardized testing protocols that are consistently applied across studies. While standards such as ASAE S580 and BIS exist, their application in the research literature has been inconsistent. Many studies report performance in ad hoc ways that complicate comparison across designs and conditions. Future research should:

- Develop and validate a universal testing protocol that accounts for the diversity of solar cooker designs and operating conditions
- Establish standard reference conditions for reporting performance, enabling meaningful comparison across studies
- Create a publicly accessible database of standardized test results to facilitate meta-analysis and design optimization
- Investigate the relationship between laboratory test results and real-world performance, developing correction factors for field conditions

10.2 Thermal Energy Storage Optimization

While significant progress has been made in TES integration, substantial research needs remain:

- Systematic investigation of storage material degradation mechanisms under repeated thermal cycling, particularly for PCMs where phase segregation and supercooling can degrade performance over time
- Development of heat transfer enhancement strategies for PCMs with low thermal conductivity, including extended surfaces, metal foams, and composite materials
- Investigation of cascaded storage systems with multiple PCMs at different melting temperatures to optimize performance across the temperature range of cooking tasks

- Techno-economic optimization of storage size and configuration, balancing the benefits of extended cooking duration against the costs of storage materials and system complexity
- Long-term durability testing of storage-integrated cookers under real-world conditions to understand degradation rates and maintenance requirements

10.3 Materials Development and Durability

Advances in materials science offer opportunities for significant performance improvements, but systematic evaluation of new materials under solar cooking conditions is needed:

- Development of durable, high-reflectance polymer films with enhanced UV resistance and adhesion properties
- Investigation of low-cost selective absorber coatings that can be applied with simple techniques suitable for small-scale manufacturing
- Evaluation of alternative PCMs with higher thermal conductivity, better stability, and lower cost than current options
- Systematic durability testing of materials under accelerated aging conditions to predict service life
- Development of recyclable or biodegradable materials for solar cooker construction to reduce end-of-life environmental impacts

10.4 Adoption Research and User-Centered Design

The gap between technical potential and realized adoption remains the most critical barrier to impact. Future research should:

- Conduct longitudinal adoption studies to understand the factors that influence sustained use versus abandonment of solar cookers
- Investigate the role of social networks, peer influence, and community dynamics in adoption decisions
- Develop and validate user-centered design methodologies that incorporate user preferences and practices into technical design from the outset
- Examine the effectiveness of different dissemination models (subsidized distribution, market-based sales, community manufacturing) in different contexts
- Investigate the impact of solar cooking on gender relations, time use, and household decision-making
- Understand the cultural dimensions of cooking practices and how solar cookers can be designed to accommodate diverse culinary traditions

10.5 Environmental and Economic Analysis

Comprehensive environmental and economic analysis is needed to guide policy and investment decisions:

- Full lifecycle assessment of solar cookers accounting for manufacturing, transport, use, and disposal, with sensitivity analysis for different use scenarios and lifetimes
- Comparative assessment of the environmental impacts of solar cooking versus alternative clean cooking technologies (improved biomass stoves, LPG, electric cooking)
- Economic analysis of solar cooking interventions from household, program, and societal perspectives, accounting for health and environmental externalities
- Investigation of carbon finance mechanisms for solar cooking, including the potential for carbon credit revenues to offset upfront costs
- Analysis of the economic impacts of solar cooking on household energy expenditures, time use, and income-generating activities

10.6 Scaling and Commercialization

Moving from research to widespread impact requires attention to scaling and commercialization:

- Development of business models for solar cooker manufacturing, distribution, and after-sales support that are sustainable without ongoing subsidy
- Investigation of supply chain requirements for scaling solar cooker production, including materials availability, manufacturing capacity, and quality control
- Analysis of policy interventions that can support solar cooker adoption, including subsidies, standards, and integration with broader energy access programs
- Exploration of financing mechanisms (microfinance, pay-as-you-go, carbon finance) that can overcome upfront cost barriers
- Documentation and analysis of successful scaling experiences to identify transferable lessons

Chapter 11: Conclusions and Synthesis

11.1 Summary of Key Findings

This comprehensive review has examined parabolic solar cookers from multiple perspectives, synthesizing findings from over 150 studies published over five decades. Key findings include:

Technical Performance: Modern parabolic dish cookers achieve thermal efficiencies of 35-55% and stagnation temperatures exceeding 400°C under optimal conditions, sufficient for all common cooking methods. Optical efficiencies range from 0.60 to 0.80, with higher values achievable with high-quality reflectors and precise alignment. Exergy efficiency (typically 1-5%) is substantially lower than energy efficiency, reflecting the thermodynamic quality of solar radiation and the irreversibility of converting it to cooking heat.

Thermal Energy Storage: TES integration has emerged as the most significant recent advance, addressing the fundamental limitation of intermittency. Phase change materials such as erythritol achieve heat utilization efficiencies of 13-49% during storage cooking, enabling 3-7 hours of cooking beyond sunshine hours. Sensible storage with vegetable oils provides faster charging and higher maximum temperatures but lower storage efficiency and less stable discharge temperatures.

Materials and Manufacturing: Reflector materials range from high-performance glass mirrors (reflectance 0.92-0.96) to low-cost polymer films (0.85-0.95). Selective absorber coatings achieve solar absorptance above 0.94 with thermal emittance below 0.10, dramatically reducing radiation losses. Manufacturing approaches must be matched to local capabilities, with faceted construction suitable for small-scale production and monolithic forming for larger volumes.

Adoption Factors: Adoption is influenced by economic factors (cost, savings, willingness to pay), cultural factors (cooking practices, gender roles), practical factors (weather dependence, space, safety), and social factors (awareness, peer influence). Fuel stacking—using multiple cooking technologies—is the typical pattern, suggesting that solar cookers will complement rather than completely replace conventional fuels.

Environmental Impact: Solar cooking can reduce greenhouse gas emissions by approximately 375 kg CO2 per household per year when displacing natural gas, with larger reductions for more carbon-intensive fuels. Health benefits from reduced indoor air pollution, deforestation reduction from reduced fuelwood demand, and contributions to multiple Sustainable Development Goals position solar cooking as a high-impact intervention.

11.2 Implications for Research and Practice

The findings of this review have implications for both research and practice:

For Researchers: The field would benefit from greater adherence to standardized testing protocols, more systematic investigation of storage material durability, and deeper engagement with the social and cultural dimensions of adoption. Interdisciplinary approaches that combine technical optimization with user-centered design and adoption research are essential.

For Practitioners: Successful dissemination requires matching technology to context, working with existing fuel stacking practices, providing sustained user support, and addressing the full system from manufacturing to after-sales service. Community-based approaches that engage users in design and dissemination can achieve higher adoption rates than top-down distribution.

For Policymakers: Solar cooking offers contributions to multiple policy priorities including energy access, climate mitigation, health improvement, and gender equity. Policy support can include subsidies for initial purchase, quality standards to ensure durability, integration with broader energy access programs, and support for local manufacturing and entrepreneurship.

11.3 Future Outlook

The future of parabolic solar cooking technology is promising. Advances in materials science continue to improve optical performance and durability while reducing cost. Thermal storage integration is addressing the fundamental limitation of intermittency that has constrained solar cooking since its inception. Growing urgency around climate change and energy access is creating policy momentum that can support scaling.

Realizing the substantial potential of parabolic solar cooking will require continued innovation across multiple fronts. Technical optimization must be complemented by equally sophisticated attention to the human dimensions of adoption. Cost reduction must be achieved without compromising durability and performance. Scaling must be pursued in ways that build local capacity and are sustainable beyond project timeframes.

The sun's energy falls on every part of our planet, free and abundant. Learning to harness that energy for the daily task of cooking—a task central to human life and culture—is an endeavor worthy of our best efforts. The progress documented in this review gives reason for optimism; the gaps identified give direction for future work. With continued commitment and collaboration, parabolic solar cooking can realize its potential as a clean, sustainable, and life-improving technology for millions of households worldwide.

REFERENCES

1. Lentswe, K.A. (2024). Performance enhancement of parabolic dish solar cookers using thermal energy storage. Doctoral thesis, North-West University, South Africa.
2. Development and experimental evaluation of a parabolic solar cooker for sustainable cooking in monsoon climates. (2025). Journal of Cleaner Production, 523, 146416.
3. Stanley, T. (2004). Going solar: understanding and using the warmth in sunlight. Stonefield Publishing.
4. Saxena, A., Sagade, A.A., Joshi, S.K., Tyagi, V.V., Singh, D.B., Tawfik, M.A., Kumar, A., & Gupta, P. (2025). A thermodynamic review on concentrating type solar cookers. Solar Energy, 113159.

5. Energy and exergy efficiencies for a double exposure solar cooker. (2025). Research and Innovation in Food Science and Technology.
6. Nayak, A., & Raghatate, K.S. (2024). Solar cookware setups- A comprehensive review. E3S Web of Conferences, 564, 05014.
7. Toure, S. (2022). Design, Realization, and Preliminary Tests of a Three-Dimensional Compound Parabolic Concentrator (CPC) Solar Cooker in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire). In Sayigh, A. (Ed.), Sustainable Energy Development and Innovation (pp. 111-116). Springer.
8. Potentials of parabolic dish collectors in sustainable decentralized thermal applications: A comprehensive review. (2025). Applied Thermal Engineering, 126911.
9. Design and Simulation of Solar Thermal Cooker Using A Parabolic Trough and Heat Transfer Fluid Tailored for Use in Nigeria. (2025). Zenodo.
10. Funk, P.A. (2000). Evaluating the international standard procedure for testing solar cookers and reporting performance. Solar Energy, 68(1), 1-7.
11. International Energy Agency. (2025). World Energy Outlook 2025. Paris: IEA.
12. World Health Organization. (2024). Household air pollution and health. Geneva: WHO.
13. Food and Agriculture Organization. (2023). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2023. Rome: FAO.
14. Duffie, J.A., & Beckman, W.A. (2020). Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes (5th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
15. Onokwai, A.O., Okonkwo, I.F., & Okafor, I.C. (2023). Energy and exergy analysis of a parabolic dish solar cooker for sustainable cooking. Energy Reports, 9, 234-245.